



\$~40

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 12341/2019

ANKIT NARANG

.....Petitioner

Through: Ms. Mallika Parmar, Advocate.

versus

DELHI DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY AND ANR.

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Shobhana Takiar, Advocate.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ JAIN

ORDER

18.02.2025

%

CM APPL. 31808/2020

1. Petitioner has moved an application under Order VI Rule 17 read with Section 151 CPC. It is submitted that after the filing of the present petition and during the pendency thereof, petitioner came to know that the allotment of her shop has been cancelled by DDA.
2. According to her, she learnt the same as per the reply/counter affidavit filed by the respondent and, therefore, the above application has been moved with permission to incorporate requisite averments and to accordingly amend the prayer in the writ petition.
3. The request for amendment has been opposed by learned counsel for respondent-DDA. Interestingly, no reply has been filed by DDA despite several opportunities.
4. However, keeping in mind the overall facts and reasons assigned, the application seeking amendment is allowed and the amended petition is also taken on record.
5. Respondents would be at liberty to file fresh counter with respect to the amended writ petition within four weeks from today. Rejoinder, if, any, be filed within further two weeks.
6. List on 24.07.2025.

MANOJ JAIN, J

FEBRUARY 18, 2025/sw