



\$~9

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

CRL.A. 673/2024 & CRL.M.A. 22454/2024

A

.....Appellant

Through: Mr. Chandan Kumar, Adv.
versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Ritesh Kumar Bahri, APP with
Mr. Vinesh Kumar & Ms. Divya
Yadav, Advs., with SI Ankit Sagar PS
Neb Sarai.
Ms. Anwesha Saha, Adv. for R-2.

CORAM:

JUSTICE PRATHIBA M. SINGH

JUSTICE AMIT SHARMA

ORDER

%

28.11.2024

1. This hearing has been done through hybrid mode.

CRL.M.A.22454/2024 (for delay)

2. For the reasons stated in the application, the delay of 88 days in refiling the appeal is condoned. Application is disposed of.

CRL.A.673/2024

3. The present appeal has been filed on behalf of the Appellant, who is a survivor in the case before the learned Trial Court in SC No. 383/2017, arising out of case FIR no. 331/2017 under Sections 376(2)/377/323/506 IPC and Section 6 of the POCSO Act, 2012 registered in PS Neb Sarai. The Appellant vide the present appeal has challenged the impugned judgment dated 28th February, 2024, by which the Accused/ Respondent No.2 has been acquitted.



4. The reply is stated to have been filed only yesterday. The same is not on record. Let the same be brought on record. Rejoinder be filed within six weeks.
5. List before the Joint Registrar on 17th December, 2024.
6. List before the Court on 6th February, 2025.

PRATHIBA M. SINGH, J

AMIT SHARMA, J

NOVEMBER 28, 2024/dk/bh/pr