



\$~57

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 10475/2024**

**SOUTH ASIA FOUNDATION INDIA THROUGH ITS  
AUTHORISED REPRESENTATIVE MR NIHAR RANJAN DAS**

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Rajshekhar Rao, Sr. Adv. with  
Ms. Mansi Sood, Ms. Mayuri Gatari  
and Ms. Rashi Agarwal, Advs. For  
Petitioner

versus

**UNION OF INDIA THROUGH THE SECRETARY, FCRA WING,  
MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS**

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Balendu Shekhar CGSC  
Rajkumar Maurya adv Krishna  
Chaitanya advocate Divyansh Singh  
Dev advocate for R-1.  
Mr. Nishant Gautam CGSC Ms.  
Kavya Shukla Adv. Mr. Vineet Negi  
Adv. Mr. Vibhav V. Nath Adv.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINDRA KUMAR KAURAV**

**ORDER**

**28.04.2026**

%

1. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner, on instructions, confines the prayer to the extent of allowing the petitioner to utilize the fund, which was received when the petitioner had the valid FCRA recognition.
2. The petitioner submits that even the respondents can directly reimburse the amount to the appropriate entity subject to petitioner's undertaking the desired activities under the original FCRA recognition.



3. Let the respondents to take instructions *qua* the aforesaid aspects and also as to how the amount, which was received by the petitioner during subsistence of the FCRA recognition, can be allowed to be used.
4. List on 20.08.2026.

**PURUSHAINDRA KUMAR KAURAV, J**

**APRIL 28, 2026/Nc**