



\$~84

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 10473/2024**

**RAJA RAM MOHAN ROY MEMORIAL TRUST (REPRESENTED
BY ITS SECRETARY)Petitioner**

Through: Mr. Harsha Peechara, Mr. Subhro P.
Mukherjee, Mr. Avinash Shukla, Mr.
T. S. Sundaram, Mr. Mohit Pandey
and Mr. Rakesh Talukdar, Advocates.

versus

**UNION OF INDIA THROUGH ITS MINISTRY OF HOUSING A
ND URBANRespondent**

Through: Mr. Kirtiman Singh, CGSC.

**CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV NARULA**

**ORDER
30.07.2024**

%

CM APPL. 43078/2024(seeking exemption)

1. Exemption is granted, subject to all just exceptions.
2. The Petitioner shall file legible and clearer copies of exempted documents, compliant with practice rules, before the next date of hearing.
3. Accordingly, the application stands disposed of.

W.P.(C) 10473/2024 & CM APPL. 43077/2024 (interim relief)

4. The present writ petition challenges the demand notice dated 10th June, 2024¹ issued by the Respondent, i.e., Land and Development Office, Ministry of Housing and Urban Affairs.

¹ "Impugned demand notice"



5. Amongst several grounds urged in the petition, Mr. Harsha Peechara, counsel for Petitioner, submits that there is absolutely no ground for levy of 'misuse charges' in the impugned demand notice. He submits that no prior notice alleging misuse of the land was issued by the Respondent and therefore, the substantial amount of 'misuse charges', to the tune of around INR 43 lakhs, as mentioned in the impugned demand notice, has been imposed without affording any opportunity to Petitioner to put forth their case.
6. Issue notice. Mr. Kirtiman Singh, CGSC, accepts notice on behalf of Respondent. He seeks a short accommodation to receive instructions in the matter.
7. Re-notify on 05th August, 2024.

SANJEEV NARULA, J

JULY 30, 2024
d.negi