



\$~29 & 30

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **MAT.APP.(F.C.) 333/2023**

SHASHI GUPTA Appellant

Through: Appellant-in-person.

versus

NEERAJ GUPTA Respondent

Through: Mr Rashid Hashmi, Adv.

+ **MAT.APP.(F.C.) 334/2023**

SHASHI GUPTA Appellant

Through: Appellant-in-person.

versus

NEERAJ GUPTA Respondent

Through: Mr Rashid Hashmi, Adv.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SHAKDHER

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT BANSAL

ORDER

% **14.05.2024**

[Physical Hearing/Hybrid Hearing (as per request)]

1. The wife i.e., Ms Shashi Gupta, is present in person. She seeks accommodation on the ground that her Advocate, i.e., Ms Anu Narula is bereaved.
2. Mr Rashid Hashmi, learned counsel, who appears on behalf of the husband, has joined the proceedings through video conferencing. Although on the previous date, i.e., 06.03.2024, we had directed that the husband would remain physically present, there has been no compliance with the said direction.

MAT.APP.(F.C.) 333/2023 & 334/2023

page 1 of 2



3. Mr Hashmi informs us that the husband is suffering from mental health issues and, therefore, is not able to remain physically present.

3.1 If this was the reason, ordinarily, Mr Hashmi should have moved an application for exemption.

4. Furthermore, as noted on the previous date, i.e., 06.03.2024, according to the wife, arrears towards maintenance amounting to Rs.2,13,600/- remain outstanding.

5. Mr Hashmi will ensure that the husband remits the said amount to the wife.

6. Accordingly, list the above-captioned matters on 19.09.2024.

7. On the next date of hearing, the husband will remain present in Court. In case, he is unable to remain physically present, an appropriate application will be moved in that behalf.

RAJIV SHAKDHER, J

AMIT BANSAL, J

MAY 14, 2024

aj

Click here to check corrigendum, if any