



\$~32

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **RC.REV. 201/2024, CM APPL. 42923/2024**

CHINTAN ARORA & ANR.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Aman Bhardwaj, Mr. Arpit
Sharma, Advs.

versus

SHRI MANOHAR LAL DHINGRA

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Rajiv Bajaj, Mr. Shivam B.,
Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE TARA VITASTA GANJU

ORDER

% **10.02.2025**

1. A request for an adjournment is made on behalf of the Petitioner. It is stated that the arguing counsel is not available today.
2. At his request, list on 29.04.2025.
3. In the meantime, both parties seek and are granted time to file their respective written synopsis, not exceeding three pages each, at least one week before the next date of hearing, along with the compilation of judgments, if any, they wish to rely upon.
- 3.1 All judgments sought to be relied upon shall be filed with an index which also sets out the relevant paragraph numbers and the proposition of law that it sets forth.
4. The parties shall act based on the digitally signed copy of the order.
5. At request of the parties, let this matter be not shown in the category of 'For Final Hearing' in the cause list.

TARA VITASTA GANJU, J

FEBRUARY 10, 2025/jn

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)