



\$~9

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

CS(OS) 593/2024

VIJAY KUMAR GOEL

.....Plaintiff

Through: Advocate for plaintiff (appearance
not given).

versus

NARENDER KUMAR GOEL & ORS.

.....Defendant

Through: Mr. Shubham Kaushik, Ms. Diksha
Pundir & Ms. Laiba Fatima, Advs.
for D-1 & 2

Mr. Sandeep Kumar, Adv. (through
VC) alongwith Mr. Piyush Goel,
Adv. for D-3.

Ms. Avani Sharma, Adv. for D-4
(through VC).

CORAM:

**JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) SH. DEVENDER
KUMAR GARG (DHJS)**

ORDER

07.05.2025

%

Proceedings are being conducted through hybrid mode.

I.A. No. 34806/2024 (by plaintiff u/O XI Rule 12 CPC)

1. No reply to the captioned IA has been filed on behalf of defendants. Time sought. Last opportunity is granted to the defendants for filing reply to the captioned IA within three weeks and rejoinder thereto be filed within two weeks thereafter.

I.A. No. 34807/2024 (by plaintiff for stay)

This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.

The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 08/05/2025 at 16:04:14

2. As per office noting, reply to the captioned IA has been filed on behalf of defendant no.4. Ld. Counsel for



plaintiff submits that he does not wish to file rejoinder to the said reply.

3. Ld. Counsel for defendant no.3 has submitted that reply to the captioned IA was filed by him alongwith written statement. However, the same is not available on record.
4. Ld. Counsel for defendant no.3 submits that he will take appropriate steps for getting his reply placed on record. Let appropriate steps be taken as per law.
5. Ld. Counsel for plaintiff has submitted that he has not received copy of the reply filed on behalf of defendant no.3. Ld. Counsel for defendant no.3 submits that he will supply the same during the course of the day. Let the same be supplied accordingly and thereafter, plaintiff may file rejoinder to the said reply within four weeks.
6. No reply to the captioned IA has been filed on behalf of defendants no.1 and 2. Time sought. Last opportunity is granted to the defendants for filing reply to the captioned IA within three weeks and rejoinder thereto be filed within two weeks thereafter.

CS(OS) 593/2024

7. Perusal of record shows that written statement, affidavit of admission/denial of documents and documents with list of documents were already filed on behalf of the defendant no.1.
8. Perusal of record further shows that written statement and affidavit of admission/denial of documents were already filed on behalf of defendant no.2.
9. Perusal of record further shows that written statement and affidavit of admission/denial of documents were already filed on behalf of defendant no.3.

This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.

The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 08/05/2025 at 16:04:14



- 10.As per office noting, written statement and affidavit of admission/denial of documents have been filed on behalf of defendant no.4.
- 11.No replication and affidavit of admission/denial has been filed on behalf of plaintiff qua defendants no.1 to 4.
- 12.Ld. Counsel for plaintiff has submitted that he does not wish to file any replication qua defendants no.3 and 4 and seeks time to file replication qua defendants no.1 and 2. Let appropriate steps be taken as per law.
- 13.No report has been received from Delhi High Court Mediation & Conciliation Centre.
- 14.Ld. Counsel for plaintiff has submitted that settlement talks between the parties in mediation have failed.
- 15.Let the pleadings be completed as per law.
- 16.Re-notify the matter for completion of pleadings and further proceedings on **12th September, 2025**.

**DEVENDER KUMAR GARG (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

MAY 7, 2025/v

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)