



\$~4 & 5

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

4.

+ **C.A.(COMM.IPD-TM) 56/2024**

RAMESH AWTANEYAppellant
Through: Mr. D. Verma and Mr. Manoj Kumar
Sharma, Advocates.

versus

SUN PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRIES LTDRespondent
Through: Mr. Sachin Gupta, Mr. Rohit Pradhan,
Mr. Tanmay Sharma and Ms.
Prashansa Singh, Advocates.

5.

+ **CS(COMM) 950/2024 with I.A. 43386/2024**

SUN PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRIES LTD.Plaintiff
Through: Mr. Sachin Gupta, Mr. Rohit Pradhan,
Mr. Tanmay Sharma and Ms.
Prashansa Singh, Advocates

versus

RAMESH AWTANEYDefendant
Through: Mr. D. Verma and Mr. Manoj Kumar
Sharma, Advocates

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT BANSAL

ORDER
03.03.2025

%

CS(COMM) 950/2024 & I.A. 43386/2024 (u/O-XXXIX Rules 1 & 2 of the CPC)

1. Counsel for the parties submit that mediation proceedings in the



present suit have not been successful and the matter has to proceed on merits.

2. Written statement as well as reply to the application for interim injunction filed on behalf of the defendant are lying under objections.

3. Counsel for the defendant shall take steps to have the same placed on record.

4. List for hearing on 17th July, 2025.

C.A.(COMM.IPD-TM) 56/2024

5. Counsel for the appellant seeks and is granted four (4) weeks' time to file rejoinder to the reply.

6. List for hearing on 17th July, 2025.

AMIT BANSAL, J

MARCH 03, 2025

Vivek/-