



\$~75

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CRL.REV.P.(MAT.) 317/2025**
MR MANOJ KUMAR

.....Petitioner
Through: Mr. Harita Mehta and Mr.
Anuradha, Advs.

versus

MS SAPNA YADAV
.....Respondent
Through: None.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SHALINDER KAUR

% **ORDER**
17.07.2025

CRL.M.A. 20333/2025, CRL.M.A. 20334/2025, CRL.M.A.
20335/2025 (Exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. Applications stand disposed of.

CRL.REV.P.(MAT.) 317/2025, CRL.M.A. 20331/2025, CRL.M.A.
20332/2025,

3. The present petition has been filed by the petitioner under Section 438 read with Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, assailing the Order dated 16.10 .2024 passed by learned Principal Judge, Family Court, Karkardooma, North -East Delhi in Maintenance Case No. 313/2023 titled "*Sapna vs Manoj Yadav*"



whereby, the *interim* maintenance of Rs. 9,000/- has been allowed in favour of the respondent.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the Impugned Order passed by the learned Principal Judge, Family Court is perverse, as the petitioner is earning barely Rs. 30,000/- per month and has the responsibility of looking after the minor child who is presently in his custody. Moreover, the respondent had abandoned the matrimonial home on her own accord. She is well-educated and is deliberately sitting idle with the intent to claim maintenance from the petitioner and harass him.

5. Upon the petitioner taking necessary steps, issue notice to respondent, through all permissible modes, returnable for 30.10.2025.

SHALINDER KAUR, J

JULY 17, 2025/SU/KP