



\$~90

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CM(M) 1256/2025 & CM APPL. 42007/2025**
MS. NITIKA KHANDURI & ORS.

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Arun Mehta, Advocate.
versus

SHRI KULDIP CHANDRA VIG & ANR.

.....Respondent

Through: None.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ JAIN

ORDER
17.07.2025

%

1. Petitioners are defendant No.2 to 4 before the learned Trial Court and are aggrieved by dismissal of their application moved under Order I Rule 10 CPC.
2. It is contended that the plaintiff is, merely, seeking his salary dues from the company in which he was employed as Executive Director and the salary dues, if any, are to be paid by the Company only and, therefore, there is no requirement to implead the Directors as defendants in the abovesaid suit.
3. It is also submitted that, even otherwise, defendant No.2 and 4 were not even Directors and had resigned.
4. Issue notice through all permissible modes as also through counsel, returnable on 25.11.2025.

MANOJ JAIN, J

JULY 17, 2025/sw/SS