



\$~17

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(OS) 718/2023**

MADHU JAITLEY

.....Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Arman Bhardwaj, Adv.
(through VC).

versus

RAJAN JAITLEY & ANR.

.....Defendant

Through: Mr. Hritik Chaudhary, Adv. for
both defendants (through VC).

CORAM:

**JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) SH. DEVENDER
KUMAR GARG (DHJS)**

O R D E R

29.01.2024

%

Proceedings are being conducted through hybrid mode.

**CS(OS) 718/2023 & I.A. No. 22124/2023 (by plaintiff u/O
XXXIX Rules 1 & 2 of CPC)**

1. As per office noting, both defendants have been served through ordinary mode as well as speed post.
2. Office noting further shows that affidavit of service has been filed on behalf of the plaintiff.
3. No written statement, affidavit of admission/denial and reply to the captioned IA has been filed on behalf of the defendants.
4. Ld. Counsel for defendants has submitted that he has filed written statement alongwith affidavit of admission /denial of documents. However, the same are not on record. Let appropriate steps be taken as per law.
5. Ld. Counsel for plaintiff submits that copy of written statement supplied is not properly paginated and some of



the pages are missing. Ld. Counsel for defendants has disputed the said fact and further submits that without going into any controversy, he will supply fresh copy of written statement and other pleadings within one week. Let the same be supplied accordingly.

6. No reply to the captioned IA has been filed on behalf of the defendants. Time sought. Let the same be filed within four weeks and rejoinder thereto be filed within two weeks thereafter.
7. Let the pleadings be completed as per law.
8. Re-notify the matter for completion of pleadings and further proceedings on **31st May, 2024**.

**DEVENDER KUMAR GARG (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

JANUARY 29, 2024/v

Click here to check corrigendum, if any