



\$~25

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CRL.A. 924/2023 & CRL.M.A. 30294/2023, CRL.M.(BAIL)**
1559/2023
LAXMAN

.....Appellant

Through: Mr. Vishesh Wadhwa, Ms. Shubhangi
Singh, Mr. Anant Singh, Adv.

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Aashneet Singh, APP
SI Shivani, PS Bharat Nagar

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH

ORDER
17.01.2025

%

1. Mr. Singh, learned APP states that the concerned Investigating Officer (IO) has been unable to inform the prosecutrix regarding the pendency of the appeal and the application filed seeking suspension of sentence of the appellant.
2. Since this is a matter inter alia under Section 10 of the POCSO Act, let intimation under Section 439 (1-A) Cr.P.C read with Delhi High Court Practise Directions dated 24.09.2019 in form Annexure-A attached to the Practise Directions be sent by the Investigating Officer to the complainant/prosecutrix/informant informing them of the pendency of the present matter to enable them or their authorized signatory to be present in Court if they so desire, either through video conferencing or in Court. It is



clarified that it is not compulsory for the prosecutrix to appear in person for the hearing.

3. In the meanwhile, let fresh Nominal Roll be requisitioned from the concerned jail authorities.
4. List on 26.03.2025.

JASMEET SINGH, J

JANUARY 17, 2025 / (MS)

Click here to check corrigendum, if any