



\$~

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **LA.APP. 79/2020**

**UNION OF INDIA**

.....Appellant

Through: Mr. Sunil Kr. Jha and Mr. Divakar  
Kapil for Mr. Sanjay Kr. Pathak,  
Advs.

versus

**SHRI PREM SINGH & ANR.**

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. M.C. Verma, Adv. for R-1  
(Through VC)  
Ms. Arti Bansal and Ms. Shruti Goel,  
Adv. for R-2/DDA

**CORAM:**

**REGISTRAR GENERAL SH. ARUN BHARDWAJ**

**ORDER**

**05.08.2025**

%

1. Vide order dated 04.07.2025, the Hon'ble Court has, *inter alia*, directed as under:-

“.....

5. *In view of the above, the application is allowed. On the applicant/respondent No.1 furnishing an indemnity bond to the extent of 50% of the decretal amount and providing adequate security for remaining 50% balance amount to the satisfaction of the Registrar General, the decretal amount alongwith the interest accrued upon be released to the applicant/respondent No.1. Let an undertaking in the form an affidavit be filed by the applicant/respondent No.1 within one week from today, that in case the appellant succeeds in the appeal, the respondent No.1 shall return the*



*compensation so released back to the appellant.”*

2. Learned counsel for respondent No.1 further requested for time to file Indemnity bond alongwith undertaking by way of an affidavit and Security bond of LRs of respondent No.1 alongwith security documents for the release of the 50% of the deposited amount against the Indemnity Bond and remaining 50% against Security Bond. Let the same be filed before the next date of hearing with an advance copy to the other side.
3. Perusal of the record reveals that respondent No.1 had expired and his legal representative have already been brought on record vide order dated 04.07.2025 passed by the Hon'ble Court. However, amended memo of parties has yet not been filed. Let the same be filed before the next date of hearing.
4. Renotify on 10.10.2025.

**(ARUN BHARDWAJ)**  
**REGISTRAR GENERAL**

**AUGUST 5, 2025**

**SS**