



\$~3

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CRL.A. 980/2025**
VIKRANT @ ASHU

.....Appellant
Through: Mr. Vineet Jain, Advocate.

versus

STATE GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI

.....Respondent
Through: Mr. Utkarsh, APP for the State with SI
Lal Chand, ASI Vats Raj, P.S. S.B.
Dairy.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE CHANDRASEKHARAN SUDHA

% **ORDER**
02.04.2026

CRL.M.(BAIL) 1511/2025

1. Both sides are represented.
2. The learned counsel for the appellant submits that, out of the sentence of seven years, the appellant has already served more than three and a half years.
3. The request for suspension is opposed by the learned Additional Public Prosecutor, who submits that the



appellant/accused has criminal antecedents.

4. However, the learned counsel for the appellant submits that, in all the other cases, the appellant has been acquitted.

5. Taking into account the facts and circumstances of the case and the nature of the injury caused to the victim, I find that this is not a fit case for suspension. However, the hearing of the appeal can be expedited.

6. List for final hearing 26.05.2026.

CHANDRASEKHARAN SUDHA, J

APRIL 2, 2026/mj