



\$~11

* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+ CS(COMM) 118/2016

MOBILE TELESYSTEMS PJSC & ANR Plaintiffs

Through: Mr.Shantanu Sahay, Adv.

versus

MAHESH BHATTI & ORS Defendants

Through: Ms. Vedika Sharma, proxy counsel

CORAM:

**JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) MS. SURYA MALIK GROVER
(DHJS)**

ORDER

% **26.04.2022**

IA 6342/2022 (under Order XXII Rule 2 CPC for substitution of Sh. Puneet Bhatti as LR of defendant no.1)

Learned counsel for plaintiffs submits that defendant no.2/Smt. Manisha Bhatti has expired recently on account of which, he may be permitted to withdraw the present application with liberty to file fresh application. Liberty granted as prayed for.

IA stands disposed of as withdrawn in view of aforesaid submissions.

IA 6374/2022 (under Order XXII Rule 2 CPC read with Section 151 CPC for substitution/change of name of plaintiff no.2 and substitution of Lrs of deceased defendant no.1 and defendant no.2)

Having perused the application, I am of the view that three prayers i.e., change of name of plaintiff no.2, substitution of LRs of deceased defendant no.1 and substitution of LRs of deceased defendant no.2 have



been sought in a single application which is not in accordance with Civil Procedure Code and corresponding provisions of Delhi High Court Rules. At this stage, learned counsel for plaintiffs submits that he may be permitted to withdraw the application with liberty to file fresh application on the same lines. Liberty granted as prayed for.

IA is dismissed as withdrawn in view of his submissions.

CS(COMM) 118/2016

List the matter for further proceedings on **22.07.2022**.

**SURYA MALIK GROVER (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

APRIL 26, 2022
neelam