



\$~36 & 37

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CS(OS) 709/2023 & I.As. 21970/2023, 48218/2024, CRL.M.A. 14005/2025

RENU CAPRIHAN & ANR.

.....Plaintiffs

Through: Mr. Mandeep Singh Vinaik, Mr. S.K. Sagar, Ms. Thanglunkim and Mr. Gai Khuanlung, Advocates.

versus

SANGEETA GROVER

.....Defendant

Through: Mr. Aman Nandrajog and Mr. Girish Shankar, Advocates.

37

+ CS(OS) 337/2024

SANGEETA GROVER

.....Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Aman Nandrajog and Mr. Girish Shankar, Advocates.

versus

RENU CAPRIHAN & ORS.

.....Defendants

Through: Mr. Mandeep Singh Vinaik, Mr. S.K. Sagar, Ms. Thanglunkim and Mr. Gai Khuanlung, Advocates for D-1 and 2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JYOTI SINGH

ORDER

%

13.08.2025

I.A. 10293/2025 (u/O VII Rule 18 r/w Section 151 CPC) in CS(OS) 709/2023



1. This is an application filed on behalf of the Plaintiffs for taking on record three additional documents, which according to the Plaintiffs are necessary for proper adjudication of the suit. The three additional documents are as follows:-

- (a) Copy or blueprint of the original sanctioned site plan that was submitted to the Municipal Corporation of Delhi and that throws light on the actual status of the property and that will go a long way in highlighting the respective entitlement of all parties to this dispute.
- (b) Affidavit sworn and duly notarised by the deceased father of Plaintiff No.2 and late husband of Plaintiff No. 1 that gives the exact status of the Hindu Undivided Family of the Plaintiffs.
- (c) Certificate of Civil Marriage of Avantika Caprihan, daughter of Plaintiff No. I and sister of Plaintiff No. 2 as per Special Marriage Act.

2. Mr. Vinaik, learned counsel for the Plaintiffs submits that Document No. 1 is necessary to decide the respective undivided proportionate shares of the parties in the undivided land underneath the structure, which is subject matter of the suit as also for deciding which areas can be delineated as common areas in the structure of the suit property and respective rights of use and enjoyment by the parties. Insofar as Document No. 2 is concerned, it is urged that the same is relevant for deciding Issue No. 7 with regard to the claim of ownership of the first floor of the suit property by Defendant No. 2 in CS(OS) 337/2024 and Document No. 3 is necessary to prove that Ms. Avantika Caprihan has married Mr. Paul Gibson and has left the country after taking citizenship in United Kingdom.



3. Learned counsel for the Defendant submits that there is no objection to Document No. 1 being taken on record, however, Plaintiffs have failed to establish the relevancy of the other two documents.

4. Having heard counsels for the parties, I am of the view that Plaintiffs have made out a case for bringing on record the three additional documents. Document No. 2 will have relevancy in deciding in Issue No. 7, while Document No. 3 will be relevant in the context of rights of Ms. Avantika Caprihan, daughter of Plaintiff No. 1 and sister of Plaintiff No. 2 in CS(OS) 337/2024.

5. Accordingly, this application is allowed, taking on record the three additional documents.

6. Application stands disposed of.

CS(OS) 709/2023

7. Plaintiffs shall file their list of witnesses and evidence by way of affidavit within six weeks from today.

8. Defendant shall file her list of witnesses and evidence by way of affidavit within six weeks thereafter.

9. List before the learned Joint Registrar on 15.09.2025 for further proceedings.

CS(OS) 337/2024

10. List before the learned Joint Registrar on 15.09.2025 for further proceedings.

JYOTI SINGH, J

AUGUST 13, 2025

S.Sharma