



\$~11

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **RFA(COMM) 95/2022**

RAJEEV SETHI & ANR.

..... Appellants

Through: Dr S.S. Hooda, Mr Aditya Hooda and
Mr Brajesh Kumar Sharma,
Advocates along with petitioner
(Rajeev Sethi) in person.

Versus

MEENU AGARWAL

..... Respondent

Through: None.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIBHU BAKHRU

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN

ORDER

18.01.2023

%

1. The learned counsel appearing for the appellant states that the respondent has been served and an affidavit of service is filed. However, the same is not on record. He states that *dasti* service was affected yesterday.
2. None appears for the respondent.
3. In view of the above, this Court considers it apposite to defer the hearing, awaiting representation on behalf of the respondent.
4. This Court is informed that the execution proceedings are listed on 28.01.2023. The same are directed to be adjourned for a further period of four weeks.



5. List on 17.02.2023.
6. Order *dasti* under signature of Court Master.

VIBHU BAKHRU, J

AMIT MAHAJAN, J

JANUARY 18, 2023
RK