



\$~5

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ EX.P. 97/2022, EX.APPL.(OS) 1219/2023 & EX.APPL.(OS) 750/2025

DEEPAK BATRA

.....Decree Holder

Through: Mr. Rakesh Kumar, Ms. Aarti Rathore and Mr. Amit Pruthi and Mr. Ujjwal K. Priyadarshi, Advs.

versus

GAURAV JAIN

.....Judgement Debtor

Through: Mr. Naveen Kohar, Mr. Abhishek Gautam and Mr. Jagjit Nandal, Advs.
JD in person

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MANMEET PRITAM SINGH ARORA

ORDER

% **09.05.2025**

1. Learned counsel for the decree holder seeks liberty to approach the Resolution Professional (RP) appointed vis-à-vis the judgment debtor to seek information with respect to the assets of the judgment debtor. The RP is directed to provide all necessary information requested by the decree holder so that the decree can be executed in accordance with law.
2. Learned counsel for the decree holder states that the inspection in terms of the order dated 25.03.2025 has been granted to the judgment debtor.
3. Learned counsel for the judgment debtor states that the judgment debtor does not admit to the genuineness of the decree which is the subject matter of this execution petition. He states that to the knowledge of the

This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.

The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 14/05/2025 at 11:00:19



judgment debtor; no case was instituted in Dubai and no decree was passed against the judgment debtor.

Judgment debtor is directed to file an affidavit to this effect, since assertion being made by the judgment debtor is very serious. In the meantime, the decree holder is also directed to file an affidavit stating on oath, the statement recorded on its behalf in the order dated 25.03.2025.

4. Learned counsel for the decree holder states that he will file an affidavit enclosing the documents the veracity whereof is disputed by the judgement debtor; to state that those are certified copies issued by the Courts at Dubai.

5. The judgment debtor has raised an objection that the present proceedings cannot continue in view of the previous institution of the insolvency proceedings against the judgment debtor.

6. However, a perusal of the order dated 08.04.2022 placed on record shows that IBC proceedings against the judgment debtor have been unsuccessful therefore, in the opinion of this Court these proceedings can be continued.

7. List on **29.08.2025**.

MANMEET PRITAM SINGH ARORA, J

MAY 9, 2025/msh

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)

This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.

The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 14/05/2025 at 11:00:19