



\$~11

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CS(OS) 730/2022
CHANDNI FAZLI

..... Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Mohit Gupta, Adv.
(appeared through VC)

versus

RAZIA SULTANA

..... Defendant

Through: Mr. Mukesh Kr. Dral, Mr.
Rachit Khandelwal & Mr. Mohd.
Kaleem Khan, Advs for D-1 to 3.

CORAM:
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) SH. MANISH
SHARMA, (DHJS)

% **ORDER**
12.02.2024

I.A.No.3605/2023 (under Order VII Rule 11 r/w Section 151 CPC filed on behalf of defendant no.1 to 3) &

I.A.No.3606/2023 (under Section 35A r/w Section 151 CPC filed on behalf of defendant no.1 to 3)

1. As per office note, reply to the captioned IAs have been filed by the plaintiff.
2. Let the pleadings be completed as per law.

I.A.No.8769/2023 (under Order VIII Rule 1 CPC filed on behalf of defendant no.1 to 3 for condonation of delay in filing written statement)

3. As per office note, reply to the captioned IA has been filed by the plaintiff.
4. Perusal of record shows that the defendant no.1 to 3 have filed written statement first time on 20/03/2023



vide diary no.506448/23, however, the same was returned under objection. Defendant no.1 to 3 have again filed written statement on 01/05/2023 vide diary no.506448/23, however, the same was again returned under objection. Defendant no.1 to 3 have once again re-filed written statement vide diary no.506448/23 on 11/09/2023 but the same was returned under objection. Perusal of record further shows that vide diary no.506448/23 dated 18/08/2023 and 12/09/2023 returned under objection. However, the written statement filed vide diary no.506448/23 dated 12/09/2023 was finally re-filed. An application bearing IA No.8769/2023 seeking condonation of delay was filed by the defendant vide diary no.639781/2023 and the same was listed before this Court on 08/05/2023.

5. Learned counsel for plaintiff submits that objections for which the written statement was returned under objections time and again have not been specified by learned counsel for the defendant no.1 to 3 and a separate report regarding those objections may be called from the Registry.
6. In view of the aforesaid circumstances, Registry is directed to file report giving details of objections raised on each time for the written statement filed by defendant no.1 to 3.
7. Re-notify the matter for further arguments on the captioned IA on **28th February, 2024.**

CS(OS) 730/2022; IA.No.19655/2022 (under Order XXXIX Rule 1 & 2 CPC) & I.A.No.19657/2022 (delay)

8. As per office note, written statement, affidavit of



admission/denial of documents and reply to IA No.19655/2022 have been filed on behalf of defendant no.1 to 3. An application seeking condonation of delay in filing the written statement by defendant nos.1 to 3 is pending consideration.

9. E-dak filed vide diary no.1662681/23 & 166254/23 both dated 12/09/2023 still lying under objection. Learned counsel is requested to check it up with the Registry and have the same placed on record.
10. Re-notify the matter completion of pleadings and admission/denial of documents on **28th February 2024.**

**MANISH SHARMA, (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

FEBRUARY 12, 2024/nk

Click here to check corrigendum, if any