



\$~37

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ W.P.(C) 16152/2022, CM APPL. 50462/2022, CM APPL. 50464/2022
SHOBHITA JOHARIPetitioner

Through: Mr.Vinayak Chawla, Advocate
versus

DEPUTY LABOUR COMMISSIONER SOUTH & ORS.

.....Respondent

Through: Ms.Rachita Garg, Advocate for R-1
None for R-2 to 5

CORAM: SH. SANJAY KUMAR SHARMA, REGISTRAR

ORDER

% **10.04.2023**

Today's Court proceedings are conducted through physical/hybrid mode.

Respondent nos. 2 & 4 have been served by ordinary mode. Despite service, there is no appearance on behalf of the said respondents.

Counsel for the petitioner states that respondent nos. 3, 4 & 5 are the same in this matter as well as in other two matters being W.P.(C) 14519/2022 & W.P.(C) 16151/2022.

As regards, notices issued to respondent nos.3 & 5 have been received back unserved with the remarks "premise was found locked, office shifted and improper address".

On petitioner taking steps and filing latest and correct addresses of respondent nos. 3 & 5, let fresh notices be issued to respondent nos. 3 & 5 by ordinary process, speed post and courier, returnable on 17.08.2023. It be indicated in the notice that counter affidavit, if any, be filed before the next date.

Counsel for respondent no.1 states that no counter affidavit is required to be filed on behalf of respondent no.1 being proforma party.

REGISTRAR

APRIL 10, 2023/sk