



\$~O-52 and O-53

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **EX.P. 60/2024, EX.APPL. (OS) 1118/2024, EX.APPL. (OS) 1736/2024, EX.APPL. (OS) 224/2025, EX.APPL. (OS) 225/2025, EX.APPL. (OS) 400/2025 and EX.APPL. (OS) 402/2025**

SHRI SANJEEV JOONDecree Holder

Through: Mr.Naresh K. Daksh, Advocate.

versus

SHRI SURENDER BASOYA & ANR.

.....Judgement Debtors

Through: Mr.Abhay Kumar, Advocate.

O-53

+ **EX.P. 61/2024, EX.APPL. (OS) 1128/2024, EX.APPL. (OS) 1737/2024, EX.APPL. (OS) 209/2025, EX.APPL. (OS) 210/2025, EX.APPL. (OS) 211/2025, EX.APPL. (OS) 403/2025 and EX.APPL. (OS) 405/2025**

SHRI SANJEEV JOONDecree Holder

Through: Mr.Naresh K. Daksh, Advocate.

versus

SHRI SURENDER BASOYA

.....Judgement Debtor

Through: Mr.Abhay Kumar, Advocate.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINdra KUMAR KAURAV

ORDER

% **04.04.2025**



EX.APPL.(OS) 566-567/2025 in EX.P. 60/2024
EX.APPL.(OS) 568-569/2025 in EX.P. 61/2024

1. *Vide* order dated 02.04.2025, the aforesaid applications have been disposed of, while taking note of order dated 30.01.2025, whereby, the Court recorded that Division Bench of this Court *vide* order dated 31.05.2024, has not stayed the matter and observed that any steps taken in the instant matter will be subject to the outcome of the appeal.
2. Learned counsel for the parties point out that in order dated 02.04.2025, there is an inadvertent error in paragraph no.1 of the said order, whereby, the word ‘not’ has not been written.
3. Therefore, paragraph no.1 of order dated 02.04.2025 is rectified to the that extent and be read as under:-

“1. Vide order dated 30.01.2025, the Court has taken note of the order of the Division Bench of this Court dated 31.05.2024, wherein the instant matter has not been stayed, and it was observed that any steps taken in the instant matter will be subject to the outcome of the appeal.”

4. Ordered accordingly.

PURUSHAINDR KUMAR KAURAV, J

APRIL 4, 2025

Nc/@m