



\$~37

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.REV.P. 1145/2019 & CRL.M.A. 39528/2019**

SURJEET PANDIT

.....Petitioner

Through: Proxy counsel (appearance not given)

versus

SMT. KIRAN & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Rahul Mehalwal and Mr. Mukesh Kumar, Advocates

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER

28.04.2026

%

1. Since learned proxy counsel for petitioner seeks adjournment as the arguing counsel is not available today, renotify on 23.09.2026.
2. In the meanwhile, parties are directed to file written synopsis not exceeding *five pages*, giving a chronological list of dates and events and relevant documents, if any, alongwith duly highlighted judgments setting out the propositions of law therein, they seek to rely on within a period of *four weeks*.

SAURABH BANERJEE, J.

APRIL 28, 2026/rr