



\$~31, 32 & 33

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 1875/2013

FEDERATION OF STATE BANK OF INDIA AND ORS..Petitioner
Through Mr. P.N. Misra, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. E.C. Vidya Sagar, Mr. Debesh Panda, Mr.
Subhash Chandra Sagar, Mr. Neil Chatterjee and
Ms. Jennifer John, Advocates.

versus

THE UNION OF INDIA AND ORS Respondent
Through Mr. Kavindra Gill, Advocate for R-1.
Mr. H.S. Parihar and Mr. Kuldeep Parashar,
Advocates for RBI.
Mr. Rajiv Kapur, Advocate.

W.P.(C) 8095/2016

UMESH SHARMA Petitioner
Through Dr. Jose P. Verghese, Mr. Alex
Joseph and Mr. Dhruv Jose, Advocates.

versus

THE STATE BANK OF INDIA Respondent
Through Mr. Rajiv Kapur, Advocate.

W.P.(C) 9679/2016

MANOHAR LAL Petitioner
Through Dr. Jose P. Verghese, Mr. Alex
Joseph and Mr. Dhruv Jose, Advocates.

versus

THE STATE BANK OF INDIA Respondent
Through Mr. Rajiv Kapur, Advocate.



CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV KHANNA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAVIN CHAWLA

ORDER
% **22.08.2017**

When the writ petition is taken up for hearing, counsel for the Union of India on instructions states that the amended Regulations would be notified within a period of 10 to 15 days. It is stated that the amended Regulations would substantially take care of the grievances made by the petitioners.

Learned senior counsel appearing for the petitioner, however, submits that depending upon the notification, some of the grievances of the petitioner may be covered, yet there are areas which may not be fully addressed and taken care of. He, however, submits that in case amended Regulations do not ameliorate the grievances of the petitioners, they would have to challenge the same.

In view of the aforesaid position, we adjourn the writ petition to 13th September, 2017.

We hope and trust that the respondent-Union of India would abide by their statement on notification.

SANJIV KHANNA, J

NAVIN CHAWLA, J

AUGUST 22, 2017
NA