



\$~16 to 20

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **W.P.(C) 11563/2019**

THE MANAGEMENT OF AIRPORT
AUTHORITY OF INDIA

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Vaibhav Kalra & Ms. Neha
Bhatnagar, Advocates.

versus

SH. KULDEEP SINGH

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Jawahar Raja, Advocate.
Mr. Siddharth and Mr. Amit Kumar
Agrawal, Advocates for EPFO.

17

WITH

+

W.P.(C) 11566/2019

THE MANAGEMENT OF AIRPORT
AUTHORITY OF INDIA

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Vaibhav Kalra & Ms. Neha
Bhatnagar, Advocates.

versus

SH. MANOJ KUMAR

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Jawahar Raja, Advocate.
Mr. Siddharth and Mr. Amit Kumar
Agrawal, Advocates for EPFO.

18

WITH

+

W.P.(C) 5056/2020 & CM APPLs.18249/2020, 24405/2021
THE MANAGEMENT OF AIRPORTS
AUTHORITY OF INDIA

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Vaibhav Kalra & Ms. Neha
Bhatnagar, Advocates.

versus

MANOJ KUMAR & ANR.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Jawahar Raja, Advocate.
Mr. Siddharth and Mr. Amit Kumar
Agrawal, Advocates for EPFO.

19

WITH

+

W.P.(C) 411/2021

MANOJ KUMAR

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Jawahar Raja, Advocate.



20
+
versus
THE MANAGEMENT OF AIRPORT AUTHORITY OF INDIA
THROUGH ITS CHAIRMAN Respondent
Through: Mr. Vaibhav Kalra & Ms. Neha
Bhatnagar, Advocate for AAI.
Mr. Siddharth and Mr. Amit Kumar
Agrawal, Advocates for EPFO.

AND
W.P.(C) 569/2021
SHRI KULDEEP SINGH Petitioner
Through: Mr. Jawahar Raja, Advocate.
versus
THE MANAGEMENT OF AIRPORT AUTHORITY OF INDIA
THROUGH ITS CHAIRMAN Respondent
Through: Mr. Vaibhav Kalra & Ms. Neha
Bhatnagar, Advocate for AAI.
Mr. Siddharth and Mr. Amit Kumar
Agrawal, Advocates for EPFO.

CORAM:
JUSTICE PRATHIBA M. SINGH

ORDER
% **13.01.2022**

1. This hearing has been done through video conferencing.
2. Pursuant to the last order dated 13th December, 2021, Mr. Siddharth, Id. Counsel for the EPFO has placed on record a report, reflecting the following:
 - i) Ledger Statements for the Workmen- Mr. Kuldeep Singh and Mr. Manoj Kumar, showing the amounts which were contributed, withdrawals thereof, and the closing balance;
 - ii) Status of the claims of the Workmen, in terms of the accumulated provident fund as also early withdrawal of pension.
3. Mr. Siddharth, Id. Counsel submits that both the Workmen's contributions with the EPFO were being made by the Contractor - M/s Good



Housekeeping. The dates of entry and exit *qua* the Workmen, have also been given in the report.

4. However, Mr. Kalra points out that inadvertently the wrong PF account number has been given *qua* Mr. Kuldeep Singh, as there were two persons with the same name. The correct name and PF account number would be as follows:

Shri Kuldeep Singh-

PF ID: DL/12812/7493

5. Accordingly, EPFO's report is taken on record *qua* Mr. Manoj Kumar. Mr. Raja, Id. Counsel submits that he has received the report filed by the EPFO only late yesterday evening, and he has to seek instructions in respect of the same.

6. Insofar as Mr. Kuldeep Singh is concerned, let the EPFO file a similar report *qua* the correct Workman, at least one week before the next date, with an advance copy to the Id. Counsels for the Workmen and Management, in order to enable both the parties to peruse the same prior to the next date.

7. Information relating to ESIC accounts, in terms of the last order, have not been received. Mr. Jawahar Raja, Id. Counsel for the Workmen, submits that the Workmen had never had an ESIC account, and have never availed the services of the ESIC. This is controverted by Mr. Kalra. The directions *qua* ESIC given in the previous order are reiterated. Let Mr. Yakesh Anand (M:9810695816) be informed of today's order by the Registry.

8. List for hearing on 11th February, 2022 at 2:30 p.m.

PRATHIBA M. SINGH, J.

JANUARY 13, 2022/Rahul/AD