



\$~60

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 9796/2025 and CM APPLs. 40970-71/2025

ARUN RAMACHANDRAN PILLAIPetitioner

Through: Mr Anil D. Nair, Sr. Advocate with
Mr Yudhvir Dalal, Mr Sahil Kumar
and Ms Sunaina, Advocates.

versus

INCOME TAX OFFICER, WARD 74 (4) DELHI.....Respondent

Through: Mr Apoorv Agarwal, JSC.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIBHU BAKHRU

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJAS KARIA

ORDER

% **14.07.2025**

1. Issue notice. The learned counsel appearing for the respondent accepts notice and seeks time to take instructions, and if necessary, file a reply. Reply, if any, be filed within a period of two weeks. Rejoinder thereto, if any, be filed before the next date of hearing.
2. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the impugned order has been passed without hearing the petitioner as the petitioner was incarcerated during the material time. He was also unable to file any response to the notice. He submits that he will be satisfied if one more opportunity is granted to the petitioner to respond to the notice pursuant to which the impugned order holding the petitioner as a Principal Officer under Section 2(35) of the Income Tax Act, 1961 has been passed.
3. List on 11.08.2025.

VIBHU BAKHRU, J

JULY 14, 2025/tr

TEJAS KARIA, J