



\$~3

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **C.A.(COMM.IPD-TM) 55/2024**

INDUSTRIA DE DISENO TEXTIL, S.AAppellant

Through: Mr. Sushant Singh, Mr. Sourav
Pattanaik and Ms. Vamika
Wadhwa, Advocates

versus

REGISTRAR OF TRADE MARKS & ANR.Respondents

Through: Mr. Harish Vaidyanathan Shankar,
CGSC with Mr. Srish Kumar
Mishra, Mr. Alexander Mathai
Paikaday, Advocates for UOI

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER

% **31.07.2024**

I.A. 34292/2024 (exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to just exceptions.
2. The application is disposed of.

I.A. 34293/2024-Delay 18 days in filing appeal.

3. The present application has been filed by the appellant seeking condonation of delay of 18 days in filing the present appeal.
4. For the reasons stated in the application and upon hearing the learned counsel for the appellant, the same is allowed and the delay is condoned.
5. Accordingly, the application stands disposed of.



C.A.(COMM.IPD-TM) 55/2024 & I.A. 34291/2024-Stay

6. By virtue of the present appeal, the appellant seeks to challenge the judgment dated 06.02.2024 passed by the respondent no.1, whereby the opposition no.780984 to the application no.1981129 has been dismissed.
7. Issue notice.
8. Mr. Harish Vaidyanathan Shankar, learned counsel appearing for the respondent no.1 accepts notice. He seeks and is granted four weeks to file a reply. Rejoinder thereto, if any, be filed within a period of two weeks thereafter.
9. On appellant taking requisite steps within a period of two weeks, issue notice to respondent no.2 by all permissible modes, returnable on 12.12.2024.
10. Reply, if any, be filed by the respondent no.2 within a period of four weeks. Rejoinder thereto, if any, be filed within a period of two weeks thereafter.
11. Accordingly, renotify on 12.12.2024.

SAURABH BANERJEE, J

JULY 31, 2024/So