



\$~38

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **RFA(COMM) 284/2024**

MOHAMAD SALEEM

.....Appellant

Through:

versus

M/S TYRE JUNCTION

.....Respondent

Through: **Mr Ashish Aggarwal, Mr Himanshu Singh and Ms Tanya Jain, Advocates.**

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SHAKDHER

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT BANSAL

ORDER

%

23.09.2024

[Physical Hearing/Hybrid Hearing (as per request)]

CM APPL. 55625/2024

1. This application has been moved on behalf of the respondent.
2. Counsel for the respondent says that non-applicant/ appellant has not fulfilled the condition stipulated by the Court *via* order dated 24.07.2024 for staying the operation of the impugned judgment and decree dated 21.03.2023.
3. To be noted, *via* order dated 24.07.2024, we stayed the operation of the impugned judgment and decree, subject to the entire decretal amount being deposited within four (4) weeks.
- 3.1 Clearly, four (4) weeks have expired.

RFA(COMM) 284/2024

Page 1 of 2



4. Therefore, this application is disposed of with a direction that as of now, there is no impediment in the execution proceedings going forth, although, our order which was conditional, made that very clear.

RAJIV SHAKDHER, J

AMIT BANSAL, J

SEPTEMBER 23, 2024/ kd

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)