



\$~9

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ RFA 55/2022 and CM APPL. 38453/2022
G. SUBRAMANIANAppellant
Through: Mr. Akshay Dhawan, Advocate.

versus

K. UNNIKRISHNANRespondent
Through: None.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI

ORDER

% **09.12.2025**

RFA 55/2022 & CM APPL. 8506/2022 (additional evidence)

No one is present on behalf of the respondent when the matter is called-out.

The record shows that the respondent has only appeared on one occasion *i.e.* on 06.09.2024 since his service. This was also recorded in order dated 11.05.2022.

Respondent has also not filed written submissions as directed *vide* order dated 06.02.2025; nor has he paid the costs of Rs. 10, 000/- imposed by that order. Let order dated 06.02.2025 be complied with *positively* within 04 weeks; failing which, further costs will be imposed.

It is noticed that the respondent was also served in CM APPL. No. 38453/2022, which was filed to bring on record the legal representatives of the deceased appellant; and at that stage the court was compelled to serve the respondent through affixation, which was done as recorded in order dated 01.08.2023.



The respondent also did not file any reply to the CM APPL. No. 38453/2022.

Learned counsel appearing for the appellant submits, that their suit for recovery of possession of the suit property alongwith arrears of rent was dismissed by the learned trial court, observing that the appellant had failed to prove his 'ownership' of the suit property.

Counsel submits, that the respondent continues to be in occupation of the suit property.

In view of the above, re-notify for hearing the appeal alongwith the application on 17th March 2026.

In view of the respondent's conduct, it is made clear that if the respondent remains unrepresented on the next date, the court would be poised to passing adverse orders against him.

ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI, J

DECEMBER 9, 2025

V.Rawat