



\$~9

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CS(COMM) 608/2024**
PRIMESTACK PTE. LTD AND ANR.Plaintiff

Through: Ms. Sucharu Garg, Ms. Shilpi
Sinha, Advocates

versus

JOHN DOE AND OTHERSDefendant

Through: Mr. Mohit Singh, Mr. Vaarish
K.Sawhani, Ms. Priyanka Khosla,
Advocates for D-3

Mr. Mrinal Ojha, Mr. Debarshi
Dutta, Ms. Nikita Rathi,
Mr. Rishabh Agarwal, Advocates
for D-7

Mr. Sumeet Kapoor (VC),
Advocate for D-15.

Mr. Sameer Agrawal (VC),
Advocate for D-16.

Mr. R. Venkat Prabhat, SPC,
Mr. Abhinav M. Goel,
Ms. Anupriya Nagar,
Mr. Ankur Yadav, GP
Advocates for D-18 & 19

Mr. Mayank Mikhail Mukherjee
(VC), Advocate for D-20.

Mr. Kuber Dewan,
Mrs. Neeharika Aggarwal,
Mr. Kaustubh Srivastava,
Advocates for D-26

CORAM:
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) Dr. AJAY GULATI



ORDER
14.10.2024

%

I.A. No. 34161/2024 (Stay).

1. Certain directions were given by the Hon'ble Court vide order dated 29.07.2024 to defendant nos. 3 to 27 in the present IA.
2. Defendant no. 2 was directed to suspend the operations of accounts mentioned in "Document A" annexed alongwith the plaint. Though there is no appearance on behalf of defendant no. 2, ld. Counsel for the plaintiff submits that it has received compliance report by way of email in this regard from defendant no. 2.
3. Ld. Counsel for defendant no. 3 submits that to the extent it was possible, defendant no. 3 has also complied with the directions passed by the Hon'ble Court and details of the same shall be mentioned in the reply to be filed to the present IA.
4. Defendants no. 4 to 13 were directed to suspend the operation of domain names mentioned in "Document C" annexed with the plaint. Appearance has been put in only on behalf of defendant no. 7. Ld. Counsel submits that to the extent it was possible, compliance has been carried out of the directions of the Hon'ble Court and a compliance affidavit has also been filed in this regard.
5. Defendants nos. 14 to 17 were directed to suspend the operations of accounts mentioned in "Document D" annexed with the plaint. Appearance has been put in on behalf of defendant no. 15 (Vodafone). Ld. Counsel submits there were three phone numbers which were to be suspended and in regard



to which compliance has been carried out. Copy of the compliance report has also been sent to Id. Counsel for the plaintiff.

6. Appearance has also been put in on behalf of defendant no. 16 (BSNL). Ld. Counsel submits that compliance is yet to be carried out of the directions issued by the Hon'ble Court.

7. Appearance has been put in on behalf of defendant no. 20 (National Payments Commission). Ld. Counsel submits that defendant no. 20 has carried out compliance of the directions of the Hon'ble Court contained in the order dated 19.09.2024 to the extent it was possible. Ld. Counsel has highlighted that the UPI ID's which were required to be blocked are all linked to specific banks and consequently, directions have been issued to the concerned banks to carry out the compliance of the directions issued by the Hon'ble Court vide order dated 19.09.2024. Ld. Counsel further highlights that defendant no. 20 was required to furnish details of the accounts linked with these UPI ID's. However, for the reason that these UPI's are linked with the specific banks, the requisite details shall be provided by those banks. A compliance affidavit is yet to be filed. Ld. Counsel submits that a compliance affidavit shall be filed within two weeks.

8. Appearance has been put in on behalf of defendant no. 26 (ICICI Bank). Ld. Counsel submits that defendant no. 26 has complied with the directions of the Hon'ble Court in regard to one of the two bank accounts which were required to be frozen, as per "Document F" annexed with the plaint. The other account could not be frozen because no such account is in operation. A compliance email has already been sent to Id. Counsel for the plaintiff.



I.A. No. 39204/2024 (seeking Stay against defendant no. 2).

9. There is no appearance on behalf of defendant no. 2. On 11.09.2024, notice on the present IA was accepted by defendant no. 2 with the direction that reply is to be filed within 30 days. However, there is no confirmation whether any reply has been filed on behalf of defendant no. 2.

CS(COMM) 608/2024

10. Defendant no. 1 is a John Doe. Defendant nos. 3 to 17 and 21 to 26 were served with summons through email on 12.09.2024 whereas defendant nos. 18 to 20 were served on 07.10.2024 and defendant no. 27 on 19.09.2024.

11. Defendant no. 14 has also been served with summons sent through speed post on 28.09.2024, defendant nos. 15, 17, 23 on 30.09.2024, defendant nos. 16, 19, 20 on 24.09.2024, defendant nos. 18, 21, 24 to 26 on 25.09.2024, defendant no. 22 on 03.10.2024 and defendant no. 27 on 28.09.2024. There is no service report regarding defendant no. 2. None of the above defendants have filed a written statement. Plaintiff is directed to file service report regarding defendant no. 2 before the next date.

12. Put up for completion of pleadings on 06.12.2024.

**Dr. AJAY GULATI
(DHJS),**

JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

OCTOBER 14, 2024/sk

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)