



\$~45

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 6026/2022 & CRL.M.A. 23635/2022

SANGAM PANDEYPetitioner

Through: Mr. Madhukar Pandey,
Mr. Aviral Sachdeva &
Mr. Umesh Singh, Advs.
through V.C.

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHIRespondent

Through: Mr. Naresh Kumar
Chahar, APP for the State.
WSI Clara Toppo, PS
Tilak Marg.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN

ORDER

% **14.10.2024**

1. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that admittedly the complaint filed by the complainant led to DD entry on 04.01.2006. He submits that the FIR was thereafter registered much later on 15.04.2013 and the cognizance was taken on 07.07.2014 for offences punishable under Sections 354/342 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 ('IPC').
2. He further submits that at the relevant time when the alleged incident happened, the punishment for 354 of the IPC was two years and therefore, no cognizance could have been taken after such a long time.
3. List for further arguments on 02.12.2024.
4. Interim order, if any, to continue.

AMIT MAHAJAN, J

OCTOBER 14, 2024

"SK"