



\$~14

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

RFA 48/2022 & CM APPL.6967/2022

SH. VISHAL GROVER

..... Appellant

Through: Mr. Rajeev Saini, Advocate (through vc)

versus

MANJITPALSINGH & ANR.

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Abhinav Tyagi, Advocate for R-2

(through vc)

CORAM: MS. KAUSHLYA VERMA, REGISTRAR

ORDER

%

23.02.2024

1. Respondent No.1 remains unserved for the reason that his premises was found locked at the time of service.
2. On appellant's taking steps within two weeks, issue notice to respondent No.1, by all permissible modes including electronic mode, returnable on 28.05.2024.
3. As per office report, service qua respondent No.2 at first address is awaited whereas at second address, service of notice has been refused by purported employee.
4. In the circumstances, on appellant's taking steps within two weeks, issue notice to respondent No.2 at second address, by way of affixation under Order 5 Rule 17 CPC, returnable on 28.05.2024.
5. Registry is directed to place on record the service report qua respondent No.2 at first address as and when the same is received.

REGISTRAR

FEBRUARY 23, 2024/vk



This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.

The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 29/02/2024 at 11:20:15