



\$~9

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

LA.APP. 340/2022

UNION OF INDIA

.....Appellant

Through: Mr. S.S. Siddiqui, Advocate for
Mr. Sanjay Kr. Pathak, Advocate

versus

MATU RAM & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Tajinder Viridi, Standing Counsel
For R-4/MCD (through vc)

CORAM: MS. KAUSHLYA VERMA, REGISTRAR

ORDER

%

27.03.2025

**CM APPL. 31443/2024 [u/O 1 Rule 10 CPC moved by R-4/MCD
seeking impleadment of PWD as R-5]**

1. Respondent Nos.1 to 3 and proposed respondent No.5/PWD have been served. However, none is present for them despite service.
2. Let reply be filed by the non-applicants within six weeks.
3. Rejoinder, if any, be filed before the next date.
4. Re-notify on 29.08.2025.

LA.APP. 340/2022

5. Parties to comply with directions contained in para 8 and 8.1 of order dated 12.02.2025 within six weeks.
6. Re-notify on 29.08.2025.

REGISTRAR

MARCH 27, 2025

vk