



\$~94 to 97, 99, 101, 102, 104 to 112 and 122 to 137

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 9561/2025 and CM APPL. 40298/2025

RAJJAN DEVI HAMANT KUMARI MAHAVIDYALAYA &
ANR.Petitioners

versus

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
& ANR.Respondents

95

+ W.P.(C) 9564/2025 and CM APPL. 40301/2025

RAM LAGAL GIRLS DEGREE COLLAGEPetitioner

versus

NATIONAL COOUCIL FOR TEACHER
EDUCATIONRespondent

96

+ W.P.(C) 9570/2025 and CM APPL. Nos. 40308/2025 and
40309/2025

ALANKAR MAHILA TEACHER TRAINING
SCHOOLPetitioner

versus

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
& ANR.Respondents

97

+ W.P.(C) 9571/2025 and CM APPL. 40311/2025

BABU BAIJNATH PRASAD SHIKSHAN PRASHIKSHAN
SANSTHAN & ANR.Petitioners

versus

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
& ANR.Respondents

99

+ W.P.(C) 9576/2025 and CM APPL. 40318/2025

KRIPAL SINGH KASIMPUR MAHAVIDYALAYA
& ANR.Petitioners

versus



- 101
+ NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
& ANR.Respondents
W.P.(C) 9583/2025 and CM APPL. Nos. 40327/2025 and 40328/2025
DR. ZAKIR HUSSAIN COLLEGE OF EDUCATION.....Petitioner
versus
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
& ANR.Respondents
- 102
+ W.P.(C) 9607/2025 and CM APPL. 40372/2025
VIVEKANAND INSTITUTE OF MANAGEMENT AND
TECHNOLOGY & ANR.Petitioners
versus
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
& ANR.Respondents
- 104
+ W.P.(C) 9612/2025 and CM APPL. Nos. 40377/2025 and 40378/2025
SHRI RAM CHANDRA DEGREE COLLEGEPetitioner
versus
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
& ANR.Respondents
- 105
+ W.P.(C) 9613/2025 and CM APPL. 40379/2025
SWAMI VIVEKANAND ADHYAPAK VIDYALAYA
& ANR.Petitioners
versus
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
& ANR.Respondents
- 106
+ W.P.(C) 9615/2025 and CM APPL. 40380/2025
DAMINI BAHUDESHIYA SHIKSHAN SANSTHANS
SHRISANTGAJANAN COLLEGE OF EDU
& ANR.Petitioners
versus
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION & ANR.
.....Respondents



107

+ W.P.(C) 9616/2025 and CM APPL. 40381/2025

GAURI SHANKAR MAHAVIDALAYAPetitioner

versus

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
& ORS.Respondents

108

+ W.P.(C) 9618/2025 and CM APPL. 40382/2025

BR COLLEGE OF HIGHER EDUCATION AND TECHNOLOGY
& ANR.Petitioners

versus

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
& ANR.Respondents

109

+ W.P.(C) 9619/2025 and CM APPL. 40383/2025

NIRANJAN INSTITUTE OF EDUCATION TECHNOLOGY
(D.EL.ED)Petitioner

versus

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
AND ANRRespondents

110

+ W.P.(C) 9631/2025 and CM APPL. 40404/2025

S D MEMORIAL SNATAK MAHAVIDYALAYA
& ANR.Petitioners

versus

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
& ANR.Respondents

111

+ W.P.(C) 9640/2025 and CM APPL. 40475/2025

DNYANWARDHINI SHIKSHAN PRASARAK
MANDALPetitioner

versus

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
& ANR.Respondents

112

+ W.P.(C) 9641/2025 and CM APPL. Nos. 40476/2025 and 40477/2025



SHRI DADA GANESH SANSKRITIK KRIDA AND
VIDYAPRASARAK MITRA MANDAL, LATE SHRI RUPSINGH
DADA PRARADKE D.ED. COLLEGEPetitioner

versus

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
& ANR.Respondents

122

+ W.P.(C) 9652/2025 and CM APPL. Nos. 40551/2025 and
40552/2025

SV COLLEGE (SRCAPP88) KADIRIPetitioner

versus

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
& ANR.Respondents

123

+ W.P.(C) 9654/2025 and CM APPL. 40556/2025

GSA COLLEGEPetitioner

versus

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
& ANR.Respondents

124

+ W.P.(C) 9655/2025 and CM APPL. 40557/2025

BRAJ BHUSHAN SINGH MAHAVIDHYALAYA
& ANR.Petitioners

versus

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
& ANR.Respondents

125

+ W.P.(C) 9656/2025 and CM APPL. 40558/2025

SIR CHHOTU RAM JAT COLLEGE OF
EDUCATIONPetitioner

versus

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
& ANR.Respondents

126

+ W.P.(C) 9657/2025 and CM APPL. 40559/2025



- MAA JANAKI INSTITUTE OF MANAGEMENT AND
TECHNOLOGY & ANR.Petitioners
versus
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
& ANR.Respondents
- 127
+ W.P.(C) 9658/2025 and CM APPL. 40560/2025
SATYA BHAMA COLLEGE OF EDUCATIONPetitioner
versus
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
AND ANRRespondents
- 128
+ W.P.(C) 9659/2025 and CM APPL. Nos. 40561/2025 and 40562/2025
SWAMI VIVEKANAND COLLEGE OF
EDUCATIONPetitioner
versus
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
& ANR.Respondents
- 129
+ W.P.(C) 9660/2025 and CM APPL. Nos. 40563/2025 and
40564/2025
VISHVA BHARTI COLLEGE OF EDUCATIONPetitioner
versus
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
& ANR.Respondents
- 130
+ W.P.(C) 9661/2025 and CM APPL. Nos. 40565/2025 and
40566/2025
MAA BAGESHWARI DEVI MAHILA
MAHAVIDYALAYAPetitioner
versus
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
& ANR.Respondents
- 131
+ W.P.(C) 9662/2025 and CM APPL. 40567/2025



- 132
+ LTR SHIKSHA SANSTHAN GIRLS WINGPetitioner
versus
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
AND ANRRespondents
- + W.P.(C) 9663/2025 and CM APPL. 40568/2025
SRI B N SINGH MEMORIAL TECHNICAL INSTITUTE
& ANR.Petitioners
versus
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
& ANR.Respondents
- 133
+ W.P.(C) 9664/2025 and CM APPL. 40569/2025
SHRI MOHAR SINGH DEGREE COLLEGE
& ANR.Petitioners
versus
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
& ANR.Respondents
- 134
+ W.P.(C) 9665/2025 and CM APPL. 40570/2025
ADARSHA SHIKSHAN SANSHTAS COLLEGE OF EDUCATION
FOR WOMENPetitioner
versus
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
& ANR.Respondents
- 135
+ W.P.(C) 9666/2025 and CM APPL. 40571/2025
SHRI SHYAM SUNDER BHAGWANDAS GARG
MAHAVIDYALAYA & ANR.Petitioners
versus
NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
& ANR.Respondents
- 136
+ W.P.(C) 9667/2025 and CM APPL. Nos. 40572/2025 and
40573/2025



SRI RAMASHANKAR BAL GOPAL
MAHAVIDYALAYA

.....Petitioner

versus

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
& ANR.

.....Respondents

137

+ W.P.(C) 9669/2025 and CM APPL. Nos. 40612/2025 and
40613/2025

L N ACADEMY

.....Petitioner

versus

NATIONAL COUNCIL FOR TEACHER EDUCATION
& ANR.

.....Respondents

Appearance:

Counsels on behalf of petitioners

Mr. Syed Rehan, Mr. Vinamra Sighal, Ms. Ayesha Fatma and Mr. Jagannath Rao, Advocates for petitioner in item no. 95.

Mr. Rishabh Kumar, Ms. Ritu Kumari, Mr. Keshav Sharma, Ms. Tanya Kaushik and Mr. Chirag Sharma, Advocates.

Mr. Abhishek Singh, Ms. Priyanka Madavaram and Mr. Karan Chaudhary, Advocates for petitioners in item nos. 111, 122, 125, 134 and 137.

Mr. Rishi Sood, Mr. Kushagra K. Yadav, Mr. Jaspreet Singh, Mr. Ahtesham Ahmad Siddiqui and Mr. Pawan Yadav, Advocates for petitioner in item no. 104.

Mr. Pradeep Kumar Arya, Mr. Gaurav Chaudhary, Mr. Rishabh, Ms. Arunima Singh, Mr. Vaibhav Chaudharuy and Mr. Anubhav Rathi, Advocates for petitioner in item no. 107.

Mr. Sanjay Sharawat, Senior Advocate with Mr. Ravi Kant, Mr. Mayank Manish, Mr. Ayush Aanand, Mr. Vineet Uadphyay and Mr. Jayant Dubey, Advocates for petitioners in item nos. 109, 127 and 131.

Mr. Gaurav Arora, Advocate for petitioner in item nos. 101, 112, 128, 129, 130 and 136.

Mr. Sumit Kishore, Advocate for petitioner in item no. 123.

Counsels on behalf of respondents

Mr. Anuj Kapoor, Mr. Shivom Sethi and Mr. Nandeesh Nanda, Advocates for NCTE.



Mr. Manish Rohilla, SPC with Ms. Vijay Laxmi, Advocate for R2 in item no. 107.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS MAHAJAN

ORDER

% **11.07.2025**

1. In the present batch of matters the challenge is to the withdrawal of recognition of the petitioner institutions by the respective regional committees of the NCTE.
2. The recognition has been withdrawn in these cases, *inter alia*, on the ground that there was no submission of PAR for the academic sessions 2021-22 and 2022-23 in terms of the public notice issued by the NCTE, and in some cases the withdrawal of recognition is premised on the ground that there was no reply given to the show cause notice.
3. The learned counsels for the petitioners submit that the premise on which recognition has been withdrawn by the respective regional counsel is not tenable. Elaborating on this, it is submitted that in some of the cases the PAR was submitted and there was an acknowledgment with regard to the submission of such PAR, but notwithstanding such submissions, the impugned order of withdrawal came to be passed.
4. In cases where recognition has been withdrawn on the ground of non-filing of reply to the show cause notice, the submission is that the reply to the show cause notice was given either through mail or sent through speed post, however, the same was not considered.
5. It is submitted that in similar matters including, ***W.P.(C) 8133/2025*** titled as ***Mahavir Teacher Training School vs. National Council for Teacher Education and Anr.***, notice has been issued by this Court and the



impugned order has been stayed till the next date of hearing with further direction to permit the petitioner(s) therein to participate in counselling and admit students for the academic session 2025-26.

6. The attention of the Court is invited to the decision ***NCTE and Anr. vs. Vaishnav Institute of Technology and Management, (2012) 5 SCC 139***, particularly to para 28 thereof which reads as under:

“28. Derecognition or withdrawal of recognition of a recognised institution is a drastic measure. It results in dislocating the students, teachers and the staff. That is why, the Council has been empowered under Section 13 to have a constant vigil on the functioning of a recognised institution. On the recommendation of the Council after inspection, if a recognised institution does not rectify the deficiencies and continues to function in contravention of the provisions of the 1993 Act or the Rules or the Regulations, the Regional Committee under Section 17 has full power to proceed for withdrawal of recognition in accordance with the procedure prescribed therein.”

(emphasis supplied)

7. It is further submitted that as per SOP, two show cause notices are required to be issued, but in all these cases only one show cause notice was issued.

8. In view of the above, issue notice. Mr. Anuj Kapoor, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent accepts notice. He submits that the present petition ought not to be entertained for the reason that there is a statutory remedy available in terms of Section 18 of the NCTE Act, against the order of withdrawal of recognition.

9. He further submits that in some cases, the submission of PAR was defective, therefore recognition was withdrawn, whereas in some cases, replies to the show-cause notices were filed after passing of withdrawal



order, therefore, the same were not considered.

10. However, on being queried by the Court, Mr. Kapoor fairly states that in similar matters notice has been issued and interim relief has also been granted.

11. Let counter affidavit be filed within a period of four weeks. Rejoinder thereto be filed within a period of two weeks thereafter.

12. Re-notify on 20.08.2025 alongwith W.P.(C) 8133/2025.

13. In the meanwhile, having regard to the submissions noted hereinabove, which *prima facie* appears to have substance, and further regard being had to the fact that in similar matters stay has been granted while issuing notice, the operation of the impugned withdrawal orders/decisions is stayed till the next date of hearing and the petitioners are permitted to participate in the counselling and admit students in the academic session 2025-26.

VIKAS MAHAJAN, J

JULY 11, 2025/jg