



\$~19

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ CS(COMM) 598/2024, I.A. 34007/2024, I.A. 3072/2025 & I.A. 18601/2025

IDEAL PREPAID INDIA PRIVATE LIMITED & ANR.Plaintiffs
Through: Mr. J. Sai, Senior Advocate along
with Mr. Sumant Nayak & Ms.
Kanika Tandon, Advocates.

versus

IDEALPE MATRIX PRIVATE LIMITED & ORS.Defendants
Through: Mr. Bharat Arora & Mr. Gourav
Arora, Advocates.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJAS KARIA

ORDER
08.09.2025

%

I.A. 21322/2025

1. This is an Application on behalf of Defendant No. 1 under Section 151 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 (“CPC”) seeking change of the title of the captioned matter to “*Ideal Prepaid India Private Limited & Another vs. Urjavidhi Private Limited (earlier known as Idealpe Matrix Private Limited) & Others*”. The learned Senior Counsel for the Plaintiffs has no objection for this prayer.
2. Accordingly, the present Application is allowed and the cause title of the Suit has been amended.
3. The learned Counsel for the Plaintiffs shall file an Amended Memo of Parties.



I.A. 9684/2025

4. This is an Application on behalf of Defendant No. 1 under Section 5 of the Limitation Act, 1963 read with Section 151 of the CPC seeking condonation of delay in filing the Reply to I.A. 34007/2024 under Order XXXIX Rule 1 and 2 of the CPC.

5. For the reasons stated in the Application, the delay of six days in filing the Reply to I.A. 34007/2024 is condoned and the Reply is taken on record. The Registry is directed to place the Reply on record.

6. The Application stands disposed of.

I.A. 22079/2025

7. This is an Application under VI Rule 17 read with Section 151 of the CPC and Section 135 of the Trade Marks Act, 1999 on behalf of the Plaintiffs seeking amendment of the Plaint.

8. Issue Notice. The learned Counsel for the Defendants accepts Notice.

9. Let the Reply be filed within a period of four weeks. Rejoinder thereto, may be filed within a period of two weeks thereafter.

I.A. 18600/2025 & I.A. 18602/2025

10. The learned Counsel for the Plaintiffs submits that the Rejoinders to these Applications have been filed. However, the same are not on record.

11. The learned Senior Counsel for the Plaintiffs shall take steps to place the Rejoinders on record before the next date of hearing.

CS(COMM) 598/2024

12. The learned Counsel for the Parties submits that the Parties have not been able to agree on the aspect of the damages. Hence, the Plaintiffs shall have to prove the aspect of the damages. As regards the statement made by the learned Counsel for the Defendants with regard to the Defendants



suffering a decree of injunction from the using the Mark 'FRONTIER' prospectively with effect from 22.05.2025, the learned Counsel for the Defendants submits that the same was made without prejudice to the rights of the Defendants with regard to the prayer for damages.

13. List I.A. 18600/2025, I.A. 18602/2025 and I.A. 22079/2025 for hearing on 14.01.2026.

TEJAS KARIA, J

SEPTEMBER 8, 2025/ 'A'