



\$~5

*

+

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

LA.APP. 44/2022 & CM APPL.3439/2022

NORTH DELHI MUNICIPAL CORPORATION Appellant

Through: Ms. Namrata Mukim, Standing Counsel
with Ms. Garima Jindal, Advocate
for MCD

versus

OM PRAKASH & ORS.

..... Respondents

Through: Ms. Urvashi Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. J.K. Jain, Advocate for respondent
Nos.1 to 45
Ms. Rini V. Tigga Adv. for Mr. Sanjay Kr.
Pathak Adv. for respondent No.46/UOI

CORAM: MS. KAUSHLYA VERMA, REGISTRAR

ORDER

%

31.10.2022

Today's Court proceedings are conducted through physical/hybrid mode.

Vide order dated 19.04.2022, the appellant was directed to deposit the remaining 25 per cent of the decretal amount within ten weeks from the date of previous order dated 19.01.2022 and was thus required to deposit the said amount by 20.04.2022. However, the said order of the Hon'ble Court has not been complied with by the appellant. Learned counsel appearing for the appellant seeks some time to have instructions and requests for a short adjournment.

Perusal of order sheet dated 21.03.2022 reveals that Mr.J.K. Jain, learned counsel for some of the respondents apprised the Court about the death of respondents 19, 22, 30 and 43 and further submitted on 02.05.2022 that he would be representing all the legal



heirs of the said respondents. However, since 21.03.2022 no steps have been taken by the appellant to bring the LRs on record of the said respondents. Let the needful be done.

As requested, re-notify on 16.11.2022.

Memo of appearance on behalf of respondent No.46 be filed within a week.

REGISTRAR

OCTOBER 31, 2022/m