



\$~7

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**  
+ **LA.APP. 44/2022, CM No. 3439/2022**  
**NORTH DELHI MUNICIPAL CORPORATION** ..... Appellant  
Through: Ms. Garima Jindal, Adv for Ms.  
Namrata Mukim Standing counsel for  
NDMC.

versus

**OM PRAKASH & ORS.** ..... Respondents  
Through: Mr. J.K. Jain, Adv. For R-1-18, 20,  
21, 23-29, 31-42,44,45.  
Mr. M.S. Akhtar, Adv. For Mr.  
Sanjay Kumar Pathak, Adv. For R-46.

**CORAM: MS. ANJU CHHABRA KHURANA, REGISTRAR**

**ORDER**

% **01.04.2022**

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that they have not received a copy of the petition. However, learned counsel for the appellant disputes the same and submits that in compliance with the order dated 21.03.2022, she has already supplied copy of the petition to counsel for the respondents. Be that as it may. Let a fresh copy of the petition be supplied to the counsel on their e-mail address which have been incorporated in the order dated 21.03.2022. Let the needful be done positively before the next date.

The appellant has not deposited the 50% of the decretal amount in terms of order dated 19.01.2022. Learned counsel for the appellant submits that she would be moving an application seeking extension of time for depositing the decretal amount, let the needful be done.

In the meanwhile, learned counsel for the respondents are directed to



file their Vakalatnama.

List again on 02.05.2022.

**APRIL 1, 2022/So**

**REGISTRAR**