



\$~5 to 11

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **LA.APP. 37/2022 & CM Appls.2650/2022, 24222/2022, 7591/2023**
NORTH DELHI MUNICIPAL CORPORATIONAppellant
Through: Mr. Sanjay Vashishtha, SC with Ms. Harshita Rai and Ms. Vasudha Saini, Adv.

versus
SHIV KUMAR & ORS.Respondents
Through: Mr. J.K. Jain, Mr. F. Urvesh Bhardwaj, Adv. for R-11 to 20, 22 to 43, 45 and LRs of R-17.
Mr. Sumit Rajput and Mr. Priyansh Verma, Adv.
Mr. Sanjay Kr. Pathak, SC with Mr. Sunil Kumar Jha and Mr. M.S. Akhtar, Adv. R-47.

(6)
+ **LA.APP. 41/2022 & CM Appls.3426/2022, 7589/2023**
NORTH DELHI MUNICIPAL CORPORATIONAppellant
Through: Mr. Sanjay Vashishtha, SC with Ms. Harshita Rai and Ms. Vasudha Saini, Adv.

versus
SH. RAJINDER SINGH & ORS.Respondents
Mr. Sanjay Kr. Pathak, SC with Mr. Sunil Kumar Jha and Mr. M.S. Akhtar, Adv. R-47.

(7)
+ **LA.APP. 42/2022 & CM Appls.3431/2022, 23786/2022, 7588/2023, 34335/2024, 34336/2024**
NORTH DELHI MUNICIPAL CORPORATIONAppellant
Through: Mr. Sanjay Vashishtha, SC with Ms. Harshita Rai and Ms. Vasudha Saini, Adv.

versus
MAM CHAND & ORS.Respondents
Through: Mr. J.K. Jain, Mr. Urvesh Bhardwaj,



Adv. for R-1 to 44 including LR of 25(c), 27 and 33.

Through: Mr. Sumit Rajput and Mr. Priyansh Verma, Adv.

Mr. Sanjay Kr. Pathak, SC with Mr. Sunil Kumar Jha and Mr. M.S. Akhtar, Adv. R-47.

(8)

+

LA.APP. 43/2022 & CM Appls.3434/2022, 24027/2022, 7587/2023, 51279/2023, 51280/2023

NORTH DELHI MUNICIPAL CORPORATIONAppellant

Through: Mr. Sanjay Vashishtha, SC with Ms. Harshita Rai and Ms. Vasudha Saini, Adv.

versus

SH.MAHAVEER SINGH & ORS.Respondents

Through: Mr. J.K. Jain, Mr. Urvesh Bhardwaj, Adv. for R-5, 6, 19, 21 to 34, 36, 39 to 46.

Mr. Sumit Rajput and Mr. Priyansh Verma, Adv.

Mr. Sanjay Kr. Pathak, SC with Mr. Sunil Kumar Jha and Mr. M.S. Akhtar, Adv. R-47.

(9)

+

LA.APP. 44/2022 & CM Appls.3439/2022, 23791/2022, 7586/2023, 51521/2023, 51522/2023

NORTH DELHI MUNICIPAL CORPORATIONAppellant

Through: Mr. Sanjay Vashishtha, SC with Ms. Harshita Rai and Ms. Vasudha Saini, Adv.

versus

OM PRAKASH & ORS.Respondents

Through: Mr. J.K. Jain, Mr. Urvesh Bhardwaj, Adv. for R-1 to 45, including LR of 19, 22, 30 and 43.

Mr. Sanjay Kr. Pathak, SC with Mr. Sunil Kumar Jha and Mr. M.S.



Akhtar, Advs. R-47.

(10)

+

LA.APP. 45/2022 & CM Appls.3460/2022, 23788/2022, 7585/2023

NORTH DELHI MUNICIPAL CORPORATIONAppellant

Through: Mr. Sanjay Vashishtha, SC with Ms. Harshita Rai and Ms. Vasudha Saini, Advs.

versus

SH. HARBANS SINGH & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. J.K. Jain, Mr. Urvesh Bhardwaj, Advs. for R-4, 7 and 9 to 38 including LR 7 (a), 9 and 28.

Mr. Sumit Rajput and Mr. Priyansh Verma, Advs.

Mr. Sanjay Kr. Pathak, SC with Mr. Sunil Kumar Jha and Mr. M.S. Akhtar, Advs. R-47.

(11)

+

LA.APP. 46/2022 & CM Appls.3463/2022, 23787/2022, 41023/2022, 7584/2023, 51289/2023, 51290/2023

NORTH DELHI MUNICIPAL CORPORATIONAppellant

Through: Mr. Sanjay Vashishtha, SC with Ms. Harshita Rai and Ms. Vasudha Saini, Advs.

versus

SH. SHYAM SUNDER & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. J.K. Jain and Mr. Urvesh Bhardwaj, Advs. for R-1 to 18, 20 to 32, 36 to 43, 45 and 46 including LR of 12 (b) 23(b), 26 and 27.

Mr. Sanjay Kr. Pathak, SC with Mr. Sunil Kumar Jha and Mr. M.S. Akhtar, Advs. R-47.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE TARA VITASTA GANJU

ORDER

18.10.2024

%



CM Appl.34335/2024[For impleadment] & CM Appl. 34336/2024
[Condonation of delay] in LA. APP. 42/2022

1. These Applications have already been disposed of *vide* order dated 04.10.2024. Registry is directed not to show these Applications as pending in the case file.

CM Appl.51280/2023 [Condonation of delay] in LA. APP.43/2022

CM Appl.51522/2023 [Condonation of delay] in LA. APP.44/2022

2. The present Applications have been filed on behalf of the Appellant seeking condonation of delay of 211 days in filing the impleadment Application.

3. For the reasons as stated in the Applications, the delay is condoned.

4. The Applications are allowed.

LA.APP. 37/2022

LA.APP. 41/2022

LA.APP. 42/2022

LA.APP. 43/2022

LA.APP. 44/2022

LA.APP. 45/2022

LA.APP. 46/2022

5. Learned Counsel for the Appellants submits that the issue raised in the present Appeals is similar to the one raised in LA. APP. 375/2023 titled as ***Sh. Satish & Others v. Union of India & Anr.*** which was admitted on 21.05.2024 and is pending for adjudication in the category of Regular matters.

6. Issue Notice. Learned Counsel for the Respondents accept Notice.

7. Admit.

8. List the present Appeals be listed in due course in the category of Regular matters along with LA. APP. 375/2023 titled as ***Sh. Satish & Others***



v. Union of India & Anr.

9. Registry is directed to ensure to place on record a digital copy of the Trial Court Record duly paginated and book-marked in accordance with the rules of the High Court, in the present Appeal in which the same is not available.

10. The parties shall file their respective written synopsis, not exceeding five pages each, at least one week before the next date of hearing, along with the compilation of judgments, if any, they wish to rely upon.

10.1 All judgments sought to be relied upon shall be filed with an index which also sets out the relevant paragraph numbers and the proposition of law that it sets forth.

TARA VITASTA GANJU, J

OCTOBER 18, 2024/r

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)