



\$~5

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **LA.APP. 42/2022 & CM APPL.3431/2022**

NORTH DELHI MUNICIPAL CORPORATION..... Appellant

Through: Ms. Garima Jindal, Advocate for
Ms. Namrata Mukim, Standing Counsel

versus

MAM CHAND & ORS.

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. J.K. Jain, Advocate (through VC)
for respondent Nos. 1 to 44
Ms. Jyoti Tyagi and Ms. Manisha,
Advocates for Mr. Yeeshu Jain,
Advocate and Ms. Rini Violet Tigga,
proxy counsel for Mr. Sanjay Kr.
Pathak, Advocate for respondent
No.45/UOI

CORAM: MS. KAUSHLYA VERMA, REGISTRAR

ORDER

%

16.11.2022

Vide order dated 19.04.2022, the appellant was directed to deposit the remaining 25 per cent of the decretal amount within ten weeks from the date of previous order dated 19.01.2022. However, the said order remains yet to be complied with.

Learned counsel for respondent No.45-Union of India undertakes to file the Memo of appearance within two weeks. Amended memo of parties be filed by respondent No.12 before the next date of hearing.

Re-notify on 14.02.2023.

Page 1 of 2



**CM APPL.23786/2022 (u/O XXXXI Rule 22 CPC by respondent
Nos.1 to 44)**

Learned counsel for the appellant seeks time to file reply to the cross objection. Let the same be filed within four weeks with advance copy to learned counsel for the applicants, who may file rejoinder thereto, if any, before the next date.

Re-notify on 14.02.2023.

REGISTRAR

NOVEMBER 16, 2022

vk

Page 2 of 2