



\$~13

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **TEST.CAS. 4/2022**
DINESH CHADUAHRY Petitioner
Through **Mr.Nishant Anand, Ms.Gunjan, Advs.**

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ORS. Respondents
Through **Ms.Meena Chaudhary Sharma,**
Applicant in person in IA 3634/2022
Ms.Ayushi Sharma, Adv. for R-3.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAVIN CHAWLA

ORDER

07.03.2022

%

IA 3634/2022

1. This is an application filed by Ms. Meena Chaudhary Sharma, Advocate praying for correction in the order dated 17.01.2022 of this Court.
2. Issue notice. Notice is accepted by Mr. Nishant Anand, learned counsel for the petitioner and Ms. Ayushi Sharma, learned counsel for the respondent no.3. They waive their right to file a response to the same.
3. The first correction sought by the applicant is in the appearance for the respondent no.3, recorded in the order dated 17.01.2022. Ms. Meena Chaudhary Sharma, Advocate submits that she was not appearing for the respondent no.3 on the said date as a counsel but was appearing as a party in person who intends to file objections to the present petition, being the paternal aunt of the petitioner as well as of respondent nos. 3 and 4.
4. The learned counsel for the respondent no.3 does not oppose the said correction.
5. As it is not denied that otherwise the respondent no.3 was represented



before this Court on 17.01.2022 through legal counsel and the said respondent also does not dispute the contents of the order passed and statements attributed to her, I see no reason not to accept this prayer.

6. Accordingly, the order dated 17.01.2022 shall be modified to the extent that the name of Ms. Meena Chaudhary Sharma shall not be shown as appearing for the respondent no.3 in the said order, but as the paternal aunt of the petitioner appearing in person.

7. The second correction sought by the applicant is that in paragraph 10 of the order dated 17.01.2022, the statement recorded and attributed to the respondent no.3 regarding the details of the Haridwar property in the petition being incorrect was made by Ms. Meena Chaudhary Sharma in her personal capacity and not as a counsel appearing for the respondent no.3.

8. In my opinion, the said correction has no material bearing on the order. Paragraph 10 of the order dated 17.01.2022 shall read as under:-

“10. At this stage, it is submitted by Ms. Meena Chaudhary Sharma, the paternal aunt of the petitioner that the details of the Haridwar properties are not properly given.”

9. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that this Court did not grant any injunction against the property at Dehradun only on the statement made by the present applicant that the numbers/details thereof are incorrect. The said statement was purportedly made on behalf of the respondent no.3, which now is sought to be attributed by Ms. Meena Chaudhary Sharma, who is neither a party nor a Class 1 Legal Heir of the deceased. He submits that the *status-quo* order be also extended to the Dehradun property, details whereof have been given in the Schedule of Immovable Properties attached



to the petition.

10. In view of the above and as Ms. Meena Chaudhary Sharma is yet to file her objections to the present petition, the parties to the present petition shall also maintain *status quo* with regard to the title and possession in respect of the land comprised in Khasra No. 182 (0.0775 hectare), Khasra No.183 (0.1250 hectare), Khasra No.184A (0.2150 hectare), Khasra No.197B (0.0455 hectare), Khasra No. 198 (0.0400 hectare), Khasra No.200 (0.0100 hectare), Khasra No. 201 (0.0800 hectare), Khasra No.202A (0.1010 hectare), totalling 0.6940 hectare, situated in Village-Haripurkalan, Pargana - Parwadoon, Dehradun, Uttarakhand. This shall be in addition to the interim injunction granted in paragraph 11 of the order dated 17.01.2022.

11. The application is disposed of in the above terms.

NAVIN CHAWLA, J

MARCH 7, 2022

RN/AB