



\$~23 & 24

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 1184/2020, CM APPL. 3926-3927/2020 & CM APPL.
28925/2020

BRAJENDRA KUMAR

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Anshuman Ashok, Advocate.

versus

CANARA BANK & ORS

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Rajesh Kumar Gautam, Ms.
Likivi K. Jakhalu, Mr. Azal Aekram,
Advocates for Canara Bank.

+ W.P.(C) 615/2022 & CM APPL. 1768/2022

BRAJENDRA KUMAR

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Anshuman Ashok, Advocate.

versus

CANARA BANK & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Rajesh Kumar Gautam, Ms.
Likivi K. Jakhalu, Mr. Azal Aekram,
Advocates for Canara Bank.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV NARULA

ORDER

%

24.02.2026

W.P.(C) 1184/2020

1. Mr. Rajesh Kumar Gautam, counsel for Canara Bank, on instructions, submits that the retiral dues of the Petitioner are being withheld on account of the Petitioner's failure to furnish a co-borrower in respect of a housing



loan granted to him at a concessional rate of interest, which was granted subject to the undertaking of the Petitioner that the outstanding dues would be recoverable from his retiral benefits. He submits that the stipulation requiring a co-borrower was imposed, firstly, because the loan was extended at a concessional rate of interest and, secondly, in view of the Petitioner's superannuation, the co-borrower would serve both as a guarantor and as a co-obligant under the loan arrangement.

2. Re-notify on 18th May, 2026.

W.P.(C) 615/2022

3. List on 18th May, 2026.

SANJEEV NARULA, J

FEBRUARY 24, 2026/ab