



\$~22

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

W.P.(CRL) 437/2018

SEEMA SAPRA

..... Petitioner

Represented by: Petitioner in person

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS

..... Respondent

Represented by: Mr.Rahul Mehra, Standing
Counsel (Crl.) with
Mr.C.Gosain, Mr.Amanpreet
Singh, Advs. for the State with
ACP Sandeep Lamba, Cyber
Cell, Crime Branch, SI Pramod
Kumar, Cyber Cell, Crime
Branch
Mr.Sajan Poovayya, Sr. Adv.
with Ms.S.Ehersa,
Ms.Roshnara Rauf,
Mr.P.Banerjee, Mr.Pratibhanu
S.Kharola, Advs. for R5

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA

ORDER

%

14.05.2019

Status report has been filed on behalf of the State as also a certificate under Section 65B of the Indian Evidence Act, 1872 on behalf of Google LLC.

Petitioner who appears in person states that formatting in the same Email has changed at three places and thus, there is a fabrication of

W.P.(CRL) 437/2018

Page 1 of 2



documents. She further states that the certificate under Section 65B of the Indian Evidence Act, 1872 filed by Google LLC is not a certificate in the eyes of law as it is a document which has been received from another country and is not notarised. She seeks time to file response to the status report and the documents filed. She also seeks time to file response to the certificate filed by Google LLC under Section 65 of the Indian Evidence Act. Responses be filed within four weeks.

List on 31st July, 2019.

MUKTA GUPTA, J

MAY 14, 2019/akb

W.P.(CRL) 437/2018

Page 2 of 2