



\$~58

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 437/2018**

SEEMA SAPRA

..... Petitioner

Through: Petitioner-in-person.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

..... Respondents

Through: Ms. Rupali Bandhopadhyaya, ASC for
the State with SI Parmod Rana, Cyber
Cell Crime

Ms. Shrutima, Advocate for R-5

Ms. Monika Arora, CGSC with Mr.
Yash Tyagi, Adv. for UOI

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SWARANA KANTA SHARMA

ORDER

%

09.05.2023

1. The petitioner is present-in-person before this Court. She mentioned her matter with permission of this Court, before the proceedings of the Court could begun.

2. The petitioner sought an adjournment on the ground that since the matter had been received by this Court by way of transfer, the written submissions filed by her before the previous Bench may be brought on record. This Court, upon her request, adjourned the matter to September, 2023 as per calendar of this Court, since almost 100 cases are listed for hearing daily. The petitioner was aggrieved by the date given by this Court and stated that she needed an adjournment only for a week. This Court



informed her that it was not possible to adjourn the matter to any date in May and instead of September, she could be accommodated in first week of August. She stated that the judges, including this Court, are insensitive and did not understand the urgency and even the previous judges who had heard the matter had transferred it.

3. This Court, though has taken note of the manner and tone of her arguments, without commenting on it at this stage, is adjourning the matter for hearing final arguments to 16.08.2023.

4. The petitioner further has also addressed the Court in a manner in which she should not have, and has also stated that she has other remedies she can avail of and will certainly move an application for an urgent hearing, since a date in May is not being given by this Court. This Court, however, considering the pendency of cases listed before it on a daily basis and the urgent matters listed which are older than the present case and in which accused persons are in judicial custody and has to take them on priority basis, has expressed its inability to hear the present matter in the month of May, 2023.

5. Re-notify on 16.08.2023 at 2:30 PM.

At 3:30 PM

6. At about 3:30 PM, it was brought to the notice of the undersigned that the petitioner has posted the following on social media against the undersigned:

“10.41 I have been poisoned inside court no. 25 Delhi High Court”

“Justice Swarana Kanta Sharma is not hearing me. My written submissions handed over to Justice DK Sharma were not with



her. I asked for a short adjournment for next week”

“She refused and insisted on giving me a date in August/September. When I told her I was being poisoned, she refused to listen to me. In between her connection got disrupted repeatedly”

“Any order Justice Swarana Kanta Sharma passes in my matter today. I will challenge in the Supreme Court. And I will ask for a transfer of the case from her to some other judge”

7. Considering the allegations levelled by the petitioner and without commenting upon the same by exercising judicial restraint, this Court is constrained to order that, subject to the orders of Hon’ble the Chief Justice, the matter be placed before another Bench on 11.05.2023.

8. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith.

SWARANA KANTA SHARMA, J

MAY 9, 2023/zp

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)