



\$~30 to 34

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

CRL.M.C. 5803/2022, CRL.M.A. 22828/2022

BRUNO SPAGNOLINI

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Mohit Mathur, Sr. Adv. with
Mr. Sandeep Das, Mr. Soham
Goswami, Mr. Tejasvi Mahajan and
Mr. Vignesh, Advs.

versus

CENTRAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. D.P. Singh, Sr. Adv. (ASG and
SPP) with Mr. Manu Mishra, Ms.
Garima Saxena, Mr. Imaan Khera
and Mr. Digvijay Singh, Advs.

31

CRL.M.C. 5804/2022, CRL.M.A. 22830/2022

BRUNO SPAGNOLINI

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. J.K. Das, Mr. Sandeep Das, Mr.
Soham Goswami and Mr. Tejasvi
Mahajan, Advs.

versus

CENTRAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. D.P. Singh, Sr. Adv. (ASG and
SPP) with Mr. Manu Mishra, Ms.
Garima Saxena, Mr. Imaan Khera
and Mr. Digvijay Singh, Advs.

32

CRL.M.C. 5805/2022, CRL.M.A. 22832/2022

GIUSEPPE ORSI

.....Petitioner



Through: Mr. J.K. Das, Mr. Sandeep Das, Mr. Soham Goswami and Mr. Tejasvi Mahajan, Advs.

versus

CENTRAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATIONRespondent

Through: Mr. D.P. Singh, Sr. Adv. (ASG and SPP) with Mr. Manu Mishra, Ms. Garima Saxena, Mr. Imaan Khera and Mr. Digvijay Singh, Advs.

33

CRL.M.C. 5807/2022, CRL.M.A. 22836/2022

GIUSEPPE ORSIPetitioner

Through: Mr. Mohit Mathur, Sr. Adv. with Mr. Sandeep Das, Mr. Soham Goswami, Mr. Tejasvi Mahajan and Mr. Vignesh, Advs.

versus

CENTRAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATIONRespondent

Through: Mr. D.P. Singh, Sr. Adv. (ASG and SPP) with Mr. Manu Mishra, Ms. Garima Saxena, Mr. Imaan Khera and Mr. Digvijay Singh, Advs.

34

+

CRL.M.C. 5902/2022, CRL.M.A. 23161/2022

GIACOMINO SAPONAROPetitioner

Through: Mr. Mohit Mathur, Sr. Adv. with Mr. Sandeep Das, Mr. Soham Goswami, Mr. Tejasvi Mahajan and Mr. Vignesh, Advs.

versus



CENTRAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATIONRespondent
Through: Mr. D.P. Singh, Sr. Adv. (ASG and
SPP) with Mr. Manu Mishra, Ms.
Garima Saxena, Mr. Imaan Khera
and Mr. Digvijay Singh, Advs.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER
12.01.2026

%

1. Learned (senior) counsel for the parties seek, and are granted, a period of *four weeks* for filing a common written synopsis not exceeding *five pages*, giving a chronological list of dates and events and relevant documents, if any, alongwith duly highlighted judgments setting out the propositions of law therein, they wish to rely upon in compliance of the earlier order.
2. As such, renotify on 24.03.2026.
3. Interim order(s), if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.
4. A copy of this order be kept with the all the connected matters.

SAURABH BANERJEE, J

JANUARY 12, 2026/Ab