



\$~21

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 11229/2019**

ARTI DEVI

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Ashutosh Kumar Shukla with
Mr. Mahesh Gupta, Advocates.

versus

DELHI DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Shobhana Takiar, Standing
Counsel for DDA with Mr. Kuljeet
Singh, Advocate.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ JAIN

ORDER

06.03.2025

%

1. According to petitioner, she was very much entitled to alternate allotment after being evicted from *Ashoka Pahari*.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the name of petitioner figured in the survey and she was also found eligible for allotment in view of the recommendation contained in Note dated 30.01.2018. He submits that despite being entitled, there is no recommendation as yet.
3. Learned counsel for respondent, however, submits that the allotment did not come her way as her husband had already been allotted a plot under a different rehabilitation policy and, therefore, petitioner is not entitled to any such allotment.
4. On the next date, learned counsel for respondent/DDA shall explain as to under what circumstances, the contents were recorded in



Note dated 30.01.2018. The policy in question shall also be shown on the next date of hearing.

5. Re-notify on 25.07.2025.

MANOJ JAIN, J

MARCH 6, 2025
st/pb