



\$~46 to 49

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ LPA 310/2010

AMARJEET SINGH

.....Appellant

Through: Mr. Sagar Nandwani and Mr.
Manoj kumar Pandey, Adv.

versus

MCD & ANR

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Sanjay Poddar, Sr. Adv
with Ms. Puja Kalra SC; Mr. Govind Kumar,
Mr. Viren, Ms. Purnima, Mr. Apurv Kumar,
Ms. Anshika Singh, Mr. Ankit Kakkar and
Mr. Sameer Pandey, Advs.

Mr. Sanjay Kumar Pathak Standing Counsel
with Mr. Mohd Sueb Akhtar, Adv.

47

+ W.P.(C) 7460/2009 & CM APPL. 4169/2010, CM APPL.
27280/2017

RAJ KUMAR ANAND

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Rajat Aneja, Senior
Advocate with Ms. Chandrika Gupta and
Mr. Abhinav Chauhan, Advs.

versus

MCD & ORS.

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Sanjay Kumar Pathak
Standing Counsel with Mr. Mohd Sueb
Akhtar, Adv.

48

+ LPA 362/2010

DINA NATH

.....Appellant

Through:

versus



MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF DELHIRespondent

Through: Ms. Prabhsahay Kaur (Standing Counsel) for DDA.

Mr. Sanjay Kumar Pathak Standing Counsel with Mr. Mohd Sueb Akhtar, Adv.

Mr. Sanjay Poddar, Sr. Adv with Ms. Puja Kalra SC; Mr. Govind Kumar, Mr. Viren, Ms. Purnima, Mr. Apurv Kumar, Ms. Anshika Singh, Mr. Ankit Kakkar and Mr. Sameer Pandey, Advs.

49

+ LPA 416/2012

SOUTH DELHI MUNICIPAL CORPORATIONAppellant

Through: Mr. Avtar Singh, Adv.

Mr. Sanjay Poddar, Sr. Adv with Ms. Puja Kalra SC; Mr. Govind Kumar, Mr. Viren, Ms. Purnima, Mr. Apurv Kumar, Ms. Anshika Singh, Mr. Ankit Kakkar and Mr. Sameer Pandey, Advs.

versus

MAHESH CHAND BAHLRespondent

Through: Mr. Naresh K. Daksh, Adv.

Mr. Arjun Mahajan SC, Mr Apoorv Upmanyu, Mr. Ravikant Kumar and Mr. Vishal Sejwal Advs.

Mr. Sanjay Kumar Pathak Standing Counsel with Mr. Mohd Sueb Akhtar, Adv.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C. HARI SHANKAR

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR

ORDER

%

20.07.2026

1. Re-notify for disposal on 25 August 2026 in the supplementary list.



2. Mr. Poddar submits that he has filed consolidated written submission in these appeals. They are not on record. He is directed to ensure to place on record before the next date of hearing.

CM APPL. 17294/2026 in LPA 416/2012

3. The applicants in this application were parties before the Supreme Court in the proceedings from which these matters were remained for consideration. They are permitted to implead themselves in this appeal.

4. The application is allowed.

5. Let amended memo of parties be filed by the petitioner within a period of three weeks from today.

6. The applicants which have been impleaded as additional respondents today are also permitted to file written submissions not exceeding four pages before the next date of hearing.

CM APPL. 17217/2026, CM APPL. 17295/2026, CM APPL. 21320/2026 in LPA 416/2012

7. The applicants in these applications were not parties before the Supreme Court. We are not inclined to allow non parties before the Supreme Court to implead themselves in these proceeding which would thereby got protracted.

8. Accordingly these applications are dismissed.



CM APPL. 23856/2026 in LPA 310/2010

9. This application at the instance of the appellant in this appeal seeks to place certain documents on record in light of the order dated 12 January 2026 passed by this Court.

10. Accordingly the documents are taken on record.

11. Application is disposed of.

C. HARI SHANKAR, J

VINOD KUMAR, J

JULY 20, 2026/dd