



\$~1 & 14 to 27

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 7689/2000

DEVI RAM & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Parvinder Chauhan, Sr. Adv. with
Mr. Abhilash VAshishth, Mr. Neeraj
Vats, Advs. for petitioner Nos.3-
5,7,9,11,13,22 & 25.

versus

UOI & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Prabhsahay Kaur, SC with Mr.
Bir Inder Singh Gurm and Mr. Aditya
Verma, Advs. for DDA.
Mr Sanjay Kumar Pathak, Mr. Sunil
Kumar Jha, Mr. M.S Akhtar and
Mr.Divakar Kapil, Advocates for
LAC/ L&B Deptt.

(14)

+ CONT.CAS(C) 191/2008

MAHESH CHAND BAHL

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Naresh K. Daksh, Adv.

versus

K.S.MEHRA & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Sanjay Poddar, Sr. Adv. with Ms.
Puja S. Kalra, Adv. for MCD
Mr. Arjun Mahajan, SC for MCF with
Mr. Apoorv Upmanyu and Mr. Harsh
Vashisht, Advs. for MCD.
Mr Sanjay Kumar Pathak, Mr. Sunil
Kumar Jha, Mr. M.S Akhtar and
Mr.Divakar Kapil, Advocates for
LAC/ L&B Deptt.



Ms. Shobhana Takiar, SC for DDA
with Mr. Kuljeet Singh and Mr.
Shivam Takiar, Advs. for DDA.

(15)

+ LPA 310/2010 & CM APPL. 55020/2024

AMARJEET SINGH

.....Appellant

Through: Mr. Sumit Pandey and Mr. Sagar
Nandwani, Advs.

versus

MCD & ANR

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Sanjay Poddar, Sr. Adv. with Ms.
Puja S. Kalra, Adv. for MCD
Mr Sanjay Kumar Pathak, Mr. Sunil
Kumar Jha, Mr. M.S Akhtar and
Mr.Divakar Kapil, Advocates for
LAC/ L&B Deptt.
Mr. Neeraj Kumar, Adv. for DDA.

(16)

+ CONT.CAS(C) 889/2009 & CM APPL. 17090/2009, CM APPL.
11922/2024, CM APPL. 11923/2024

RAJ KUMAR ANAND

.....Petitioner

Through: Ms. Chandrika Gupta and Mr. Rajat
Aneja, Advs.

versus

KS MEHRA & ORS

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Shobhana Takiar, SC for DDA
with Mr. Kuljeet Singh and Mr.
Shivam Takiar, Advs. for DDA.
Mr. Gaganmeet Singh Sachdeva &
Mr. Harshpreet Singh Chadha, Advs.
for DDA.
Mr Sanjay Kumar Pathak, Mr. Sunil
Kumar Jha, Mr. M.S Akhtar and



Mr.Divakar Kapil, Advocates for
LAC/ L&B Deptt.

Mr. Sanjay Poddar, Sr. Adv. with Ms.
Puja S. Kalra, Adv. for MCD

Ms. Shobhana Takiar, SC for DDA
with Mr. Kuljeet Singh and Mr.
Shivam Takiar, Adv. for DDA.

(17)

+ W.P.(C) 7460/2009 & CM APPL. 4169/2010, CM APPL. 27280/2017
RAJ KUMAR ANANDPetitioner

Through: Ms. Chandrika Gupta and Mr. Rajat
Aneja, Adv.

versus

MCD & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr Sanjay Kumar Pathak, Mr. Sunil
Kumar Jha, Mr. M.S Akhtar and
Mr.Divakar Kapil, Advocates for
LAC/ L&B Deptt.
Mr. Sanjay Poddar, Sr. Adv. with Ms.
Puja S. Kalra, Adv. for MCD

(18)

+ LPA 362/2010
DINA NATHAppellant

Through: Mr. Sumit Pandey and Mr. Sagar
Nandwani, Adv.

versus

MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF DELHIRespondent

Through: Ms. Prabhsahay Kaur, SC with Mr.
Bir Inder Singh Gurm and Mr. Aditya
Verma, Adv. for DDA.
Mr. Sanjay Poddar, Sr. Adv. with Ms.
Puja S. Kalra, Adv. for MCD
Mr Sanjay Kumar Pathak, Mr. Sunil



Kumar Jha, Mr. M.S Akhtar and
Mr.Divakar Kapil, Advocates for
LAC/ L&B Deptt.

Mr. Arjun Mahajan, SC for MCD
with Mr. Apoorv Upmanyu and Mr.
Harsh Vashist, Advs.

(19)

+ LPA 416/2012

SOUTH DELHI MUNICIPAL CORPORATION

.....Appellant

Through:

versus

MAHESH CHAND BAHL

.....Respondent

Through:

Ms. Prabhsahay Kaur, SC with Mr.
Bir Inder Singh Gurm and Mr. Aditya
Verma, Advs. for DDA.

Mr. Sanjay Poddar, Sr. Adv. with Ms.
Puja S. Kalra, Adv. for MCD

Mr Sanjay Kumar Pathak, Mr. Sunil
Kumar Jha, Mr. M.S Akhtar and
Mr.Divakar Kapil, Advocates for
LAC/ L&B Deptt.

Mr. Sanjay Poddar, Sr. Adv. with Ms.
Puja S. Kalra, Adv. for MCD.

Mr. Arjun Mahajan, SC for MCD
with Mr. Apoorv Upmanyu and Mr.
Harsh Vashist, Advs.

Mr. Naresh K. Daksh, Adv.

(20)

+ W.P.(C) 3705/2006

KISHNA & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through:

Mr. Parvinder Chauhan, Sr. Adv. with



Mr. Abhilash VAshishth, Mr. Neeraj Vats, Advs. for petitioner No.2.

versus

UOI & ORS.

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Prabhsahay Kaur, SC with Mr. Bir Inder Singh Gurm and Mr. Aditya Verma, Advs. for DDA.

Mr Sanjay Kumar Pathak, Mr. Sunil Kumar Jha, Mr. M.S Akhtar and Mr.Divakar Kapil, Advocates for LAC/ L&B Deptt.

(21)

+ W.P.(C) 9269/2007
SHANTI DEVI & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Parvinder Chauhan, Sr. Adv. with Mr. Abhilash VAshishth, Mr. Neeraj Vats, Advs. for petitioner Nos.1,4 & 5.

versus

UOI & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Shobhana Takiar, SC for DDA with Mr. Kuljeet Singh and Mr. Shivam Takiar, Advs. for DDA.

Mr Sanjay Kumar Pathak, Mr. Sunil Kumar Jha, Mr. M.S Akhtar and Mr.Divakar Kapil, Advocates for LAC/ L&B Deptt.

Ms. Shobhana Takiar, SC for DDA with Mr. Kuljeet Singh and Mr. Shivam Takiar, Advs. for DDA.

(22)

+ W.P.(C) 4591/2008
RAM NARAIN & ORS

.....Petitioner



Through: Mr. Parvinder Chauhan, Sr. Adv. with
Mr. Abhilash VAshishth, Mr. Neeraj
Vats, Advs. for petitioner No.1.

versus

U.O.I & ORS

.....Respondents

Through: Mr Sanjay Kumar Pathak, Mr. Sunil
Kumar Jha, Mr. M.S Akhtar and
Mr.Divakar Kapil, Advocates for
LAC/ L&B Deptt.
Ms. Shobhana Takiar, SC for DDA
with Mr. Kuljeet Singh and Mr.
Shivam Takiar, Advs. for DDA.

(23)

+ W.P.(C) 4593/2008
JAGMOHAN & ORS

.....Petitioner

Through:
versus

U.O.I

.....Respondent

Through: Mr Sanjay Kumar Pathak, Mr. Sunil
Kumar Jha, Mr. M.S Akhtar, Mr.
Sami Sameer Siddiqui and
Mr.Divakar Kapil, Advocates for
LAC/ L&B Deptt.
Ms. Mrinalini Sen, SC for DDA and
Ms. Gauri Shyam, Adv. for DDA.

(24)

+ W.P.(C) 4594/2008
RAM MEHER & ORS

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Parvinder Chauhan, Sr. Adv. with
Mr. Abhilash VAshishth, Mr. Neeraj
Vats, Advs. for petitioner Nos.2-15, 8
& 17.

versus



U.O.I & ORS

.....Respondents

Through: Mr Sanjay Kumar Pathak, Mr. Sunil Kumar Jha, Mr. M.S Akhtar, Mr. Sami Sameer Siddiqui and Mr.Divakar Kapil, Advocates for LAC/ L&B Deptt.
Mr. Neeraj Kumar, Adv. for DDA.

(25)

+ W.P.(C) 252/2009 & CM APPL. 33558/2024
SANJEEV SIKKA & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Himansh Yadav, Adv.
Versus

UOI & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Gaganmeet Singh Sachdeva & Mr. Harshpreet Singh Chadha, Advs. for DDA.
Mr Sanjay Kumar Pathak, Mr. Sunil Kumar Jha, Mr. M.S Akhtar, Mr. Sami Sameer Siddiqui and Mr.Divakar Kapil, Advocates for LAC/ L&B Deptt.

(26)

+ W.P.(C) 4200/2006
SAT NARAIN & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Himansh Yadav, Adv.
versus

UOI & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr Sanjay Kumar Pathak, Mr. Sunil Kumar Jha, Mr. M.S Akhtar, Mr. Sami Sameer Siddiqui and



Mr.Divakar Kapil, Advocates for
LAC/ L&B Deptt.

Ms. Shobhana Takiar, SC for DDA
with Mr. Kuljeet Singh and Mr.
Shivam Takiar, Advs. for DDA.

Ms. Mrinalini Sen, SC for DDA and
Ms. Gauri Shyam, Adv. for DDA.

(27)

+ W.P.(C) 7463/2006

NAND KISHOR & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through:

versus

UOI & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through:

Mr Sanjay Kumar Pathak, Mr. Sunil
Kumar Jha, Mr. M.S. Akhtar, Mr.
Sami Sameer Siddiqui and
Mr.Divakar Kapil, Advocates for
LAC/ L&B Deptt.

Ms. Shobhana Takiar, SC for DDA
with Mr. Kuljeet Singh and Mr.
Shivam Takiar, Advs. for DDA.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NITIN WASUDEO SAMBRE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANISH DAYAL

ORDER

%

11.09.2025

1. In compliance with the orders dated 31st July 2025 and 21st March 2025, an affidavit of *Mr. Ramesh Chander Meena, Additional Secretary, Land & Building Department* has been handed up in Court. The affidavit of *Additional Secretary, Land & Building Department, GNCTD* is taken on record.



2. It appears from the events narrated in the said affidavit that efforts were made at the level of the *Chief Secretary* and also the *Hon'ble Lieutenant Governor of Delhi* (**'Hon'ble Lt. Governor'**) to sort out the issue.
3. Various stakeholders in relation to the issue which is sought to be canvassed in these Writ Petitions and also in the Contempt Petition speak of involvement of the *Chief Secretary*, Government of NCT of Delhi (**GNCTD**), Municipal Corporation of Delhi (**MCD**) and Delhi Development Authority (**DDA**).
4. We are informed that the *Hon'ble Lt. Governor* has granted a hearing to some of the lawyers who were representing the interest of the petitioner.
5. It has been claimed that matter has started moving and, on behalf of the Competent Authority (clarified to be the *Hon'ble Lt. Governor*), and two months' time is sought in the matter to take appropriate decision.
6. The fact remains that it is only after the order of this Court dated 31st July 2025, that matters, to a certain extent, have moved; however, in spite of the indulgence shown by the *Chief Secretary*, the issue has not been resolved and it is the *Hon'ble Lt. Governor* who is required to step into the matter.
7. The proceedings, which are listed before the Court, have been pending for more than 25 years. The issue, which has remained unresolved for over two decades, ought to have been settled by this time by the respondent/Authorities.
8. We are sensitive to the fact that the *Chief Secretary* and the *Hon'ble Lt. Governor* have started looking into the matter, but we hardly see any positive results.
9. In the aforesaid background, we are of the view that, as prayed in *paragraph 18* of the affidavit of the *Additional Secretary*, Land & Building



Department, we are granting time of *12 weeks* to the *Chief Secretary*, and the Competent Authority to take an appropriate decision in the matter.

10. We direct the *Chief Secretary* of GNCTD to file his own affidavit of compliance on or before next date of hearing.

11. We hereby make it clear that the affidavit has to be that of the *Chief Secretary* of GNCTD.

12. In case the time limit prescribed above, which is granted by this Court pursuant to the affidavit of the *Additional Secretary*, Land & Building Department, is not adhered to, we will be making the *Chief Secretary* liable for further proceedings and strictures in the matter.

13. We further direct the *Chief Secretary* of GNCTD to place on record a Progress Report in the matter every fortnight so that this Court is in a position to appreciate the pace with which the matter is being dealt with.

14. List on 16th December 2025.

15. Order be uploaded on the website of this Court.

NITIN WASUDEO SAMBRE, J

ANISH DAYAL, J

SEPTEMBER 11, 2025/MK/tk