



\$~61 to 75

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CONT.CAS(C) 191/2008

MAHESH CHAND BAHLPetitioner

versus

K.S.MEHRA & ORS.Respondents

62

+ CONT.CAS(C) 889/2009, CM APPL. 17090/2009, CM APPL. 11922/2024 & CM APPL. 11923/2024

RAJ KUMAR ANAND Petitioner

versus

KS MEHRA & ORS Respondents

63

+ W.P.(C) 7460/2009, CM APPL. 4169/2010 & CM APPL. 27280/2017

RAJ KUMAR ANAND Petitioner

versus

MCD & ORS. Respondents

64

+ LPA 310/2010 & CM APPL. 55020/2024 (Impleadment)

AMARJEET SINGH Appellant

versus

MCD & ANR Respondents

65

+ LPA 362/2010

DINA NATH

..... Appellant

versus

MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF DELHI Respondent

66

+ LPA 416/2012



SOUTH DELHI MUNICIPAL CORPORATION Appellant
versus

MAHESH CHAND BAHL Respondent

67
+ W.P.(C) 7689/2000
DEVI RAM & ORS. Petitioners
versus
UOI & ORS. Respondents

68
+ W.P.(C) 3705/2006
KISHNA & ORS. Petitioners
versus
UOI & ORS. Respondents

69
+ W.P.(C) 9269/2007
SHANTI DEVI & ORS. Petitioners
versus
UOI & ORS. Respondents

70
+ W.P.(C) 4591/2008
RAM NARAIN & ORS Petitioners
versus
U.O.I & ORS Respondents

71
+ W.P.(C) 4593/2008
JAGMOHAN & ORS Petitioners
versus

U.O.I Respondent

72
+ W.P.(C) 4594/2008



RAM MEHER & ORS
versus

..... Petitioners

U.O.I & ORS

..... Respondents

73

+ W.P.(C) 252/2009 & CM APPL. 33558/2024

SANJEEV SIKKA & ORS.
versus

..... Petitioners

UOI & ORS.

..... Respondents

74

+ W.P.(C) 4200/2006

SAT NARAIN & ORS.
versus

..... Petitioners

UOI & ORS.

..... Respondents

75

+ W.P.(C) 7463/2006

NAND KISHOR & ORS.
versus

..... Petitioners

UOI & ORS.

..... Respondents

Presence: Mr. Praveen Suri, Adv. for appellant and petitioner in Item no.64 & 65.

Mr. Parvinder Chauhan, Sr. Adv. with Mr. Abhilash Vashisht, Ms. Aakriti Garg & Mr. Neeraj Vats, Advs. for P-2 to 15 & 17 in Item no.74, for applicant in Item no.64, for P-2 in Item no.68, for P-3 to 5, 7, 9, 10, 11, 13, 15, 22 & 25, for P-1, 4, 5 & 11 in Item no.69 and for P-1 in Item no.70.

Mr. Himansh Yadav, Adv. for petitioners in Item no.73&74.

Mr. Prabhsahay Kaur, SC with Mr. Bir Inder



Singh G, Adv. for DDA in Item no.61-75.
Ms. Puja S Kalra, SC with Mr. Virendra Singh, Adv. for MCD in Item no.62.
Ms. Shobhana Takiar, SC with Mr. Kuljeet Singh, Mr. Prateek Dhir & Mr. Shivam Takiar, Adv. for DDA in Item no.61-75.
Mr. Sanjay Kumar Pathak, SC with Mr. Sunil Kumar Jha & Mr. M S Akhtar, Advs. for LAC, L&B and GNCTD in Item no.61-75.
Mr. Tushar Sannu, SC for MCD in Item no.62 & 63.
Mr. Arjun Mahajan, Ms. Neha Rai & Ms. Apoorv U, Advs. for MCD in Item no.65 & 66.
Mr. Sanjay Poddar, Sr. Adv. with Mr. Sanjeev Sagar, Mr. Govind Kumar & Mr. Kumar Kshitij, Advs. for MCD in Item no.64-66.
Mr. Naresh K Daksh, Adv. for petitioner in Item no.61 and for respondent in Item no.66.
Mr. Rajat Aneja & Mr. Aditya Sharma, Advs. for petitioner in Item no.62 & 63.
Mr. Naushad Ahmed, Adv. for respondent in Item no.64.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE YASHWANT VARMA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DHARMESH SHARMA

ORDER
03.12.2024

%

1. We take note of the principal issues which came to be identified in our order of 09 April 2024. The writ petition would also have to be examined in light of the order of the Supreme Court in **Municipal Corporation of Delhi & Anr. v. Kirpal Singh and Karan Singh & Ors.** [Civil Appeal No.4478/2013 dated 19 July 2023].
2. The broad questions which arise in this batch have been duly noticed in our aforementioned order. However, and in our considered opinion, it would be appropriate for the stand of the Government of



National Capital Territory of Delhi [“GNCTD”], Delhi Development Authority [“DDA”], Land & Development Office [“L&DO”] as well as the Land Acquisition Collector [“LAC”] being placed on the record before we proceed to commence hearing on these appeals.

3. We take note of the fact that a majority of the matters have been pending on our board right from 2006. Thus, the aforementioned governmental bodies are directed to place their affidavits on the record dealing with the issues which stand flagged in our order of 09 April 2024 within a period of three weeks from today. We also clarify that no prayer for further extension of time shall be entertained.

4. In case the said respondents fail to file a reply in terms of the liberty so accorded, they shall have the liberty to place the relevant record and address submissions on the basis thereof.

5. We have requested Ms. Kaur and Ms. Takiar, learned standing counsels, to coordinate the filing of a reply on behalf of the DDA. We have also requested Ms. Kalra, learned standing counsel who represents the MCD, to undertake a similar exercise in respect of the said agency. Mr. Pathak, who is appearing for the Land and Building Department as well as the LAC, would also undertake a similar exercise on behalf of those respondents.

6. We also request learned counsels for the writ petitioners to inform Mr. Satyakam, the panel counsel for GNCTD of the passing of this order. Mr. Satyakam is requested to take appropriate steps and coordinate the filing of an affidavit on behalf of the GNCTD in light of the directions issued above.

7. Let this batch be posted on 13.01.2025 in the category of “End of Board” matters.



8. Copies of the affidavits which may be filed on behalf of GNCTD, DDA, L&DO and LAC shall be circulated and provided to all learned counsels representing the writ petitioners in this batch. The petitioners are at liberty to respond, if so required, on or before the next date fixed.

YASHWANT VARMA, J.

DHARMESH SHARMA, J.

DECEMBER 3, 2024
Ch