



\$~47

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ LA.APP. 335/2022

UNION OF INDIA

..... Appellant

Through: Mr. Sanjay Kumar Pathak, Standing
Counsel with Mr. Sunil Kumar Jha
and Mr. M.S. Akhtar, Advocates

versus

BRAHAM PRAKASH RANA & ORS.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Priyankar Tewary and
Mr. Manoviraj Singh, Advocates for
R-3/MCD

CORAM:

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE SUDHIR KUMAR JAIN

ORDER

% **02.07.2024**

CM APPL. 36187/2024 (by R-3 MCD for impleadment of PWD as R-4)

1. The present application is filed on behalf of the respondent no.3/MCD under Order I Rule 10 read with sections 107(2) and 151 of CPC to implead PWD as the respondent no. 4.
2. The counsel for the respondent no. 3/MCD referred the para no.9 of the application wherein it is stated that after acquisition, the land was handed over to respondent no. 3/MCD for the purpose of construction of approaches of road over bridge (ROB) at railway level crossing, Najafgarh, Bijwasan Road at Bijwasan and now after the construction of ROB, the same has already been handed over to PWD. Accordingly, the PWD is also one of the necessary parties.
3. Issue notice to the proposed respondent no. 4/PWD on taking



necessary steps by the applicant/MCD through all permissible modes,
returnable on 27.08.2024.

DR. SUDHIR KUMAR JAIN, J

JULY 2, 2024

N/ABK