

\$~28

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**  
+ TEST.CAS. 79/2019 & I.A. 14621/2019 (u/O XXXIX R 1&2 CPC)  
SH. SANDEEP TYAGI ..... Petitioner  
Represented by: Mr. Manoj Kumar, Adv.

versus

STATE & ORS. .... Respondent  
Represented by: Mr. Durgah Gupta, Adv. for  
R-2,3, 5 to 7.  
Mr. Sandeep Kumar, Adv. for R-4.

**CORAM:**  
**HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA**

**ORDER**

**18.02.2020**

%

1. Learned counsel for the respondent No.2,3 and 5 to 7 states that said respondents have filed applications seeking condonation of delay in filing the written statements since the same were filed beyond the period of 30 days, however the said applications have not come on record and he will ensure that the same are placed on record.
2. Valuation report has already been filed by the respondent No.1/ State.
3. Learned counsel for the respondent No.4 also states that he would file the written statement along with an application seeking condonation of delay and an application under Order XXXIX Rule 1&2 CPC which is not on record. He will also ensure that the same is placed on record.
4. List on 30<sup>th</sup> April, 2020.

**MUKTA GUPTA, J.**

**FEBRUARY 18, 2020**

**'ga'**