



\$~9

*

+

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

CS(OS) 664/2023

ANOOP SINGH YADAV & ORS.Plaintiffs

Through: Mr. Mohit Mudgal & Mr. Rvi
Kant Yadav, Advs.

versus

MANGE RAM & ORS.Defendants

Through: Mr. Vikas Yadav, Adv. for D-1
(joined through VC)
Mr. Sandeep Yadav, Adv. for
D-2 to 7
Mr. Varun Mudgil & Mr. Rakesh
Kumar, Advs. for D-8 to 10

CORAM:

**JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) MS. PRIYA
MAHENDRA, (DHJS)**

ORDER

% **26.03.2025**

CS(OS) 664/2023

1. As per order dated 23.07.2024, Written Statement and documents filed by defendant no.1 were taken off the record. The said order also records that vide order dated 23.02.2024, the right of the defendant no.1 to file Affidavit of Admission/Denial of the documents was forfeited.
2. Vide order dated 18.02.2025, OA No.162/2024 filed by defendant no.1 assailing the order dated 23rd July, 2024¹ was allowed by the Hon'ble Court and Written Statement along with affidavit of admission/denial and documents filed by the defendant no.1 were allowed to be taken on record.
3. At this stage, it is stated by learned counsel for the plaintiff that he has assailed the order dated 18.02.2025 passed by the Hon'ble Court by which the Hon'ble Court directed that written statement along with affidavit of admission/denial and documents filed by defendant no.1 be taken on record, in FAO(OS) 25/2025 and that the Hon'ble



Court has already issued notice on the said FAO (OS). He submits that the said FAO(OS) is already listed before the Hon'ble Court on 18.07.2025. He further submits that without prejudice to his rights and contentions and in order to expedite the matter, he has already filed Replication alongwith Affidavit of Admission/Denial of the documents and documents qua defendant no.1. The same are on record.

4. However, Affidavit of Admission/Denial filed by the plaintiff alongwith Replication to Written Statement of defendant no.1 is not proper. So, the plaintiff is directed to file Revised/proper Affidavit of Admission/Denial of the documents strictly as per law within three weeks with copy to the opposite side.

5. Learned counsel for the plaintiff points out that defendant no.1 has filed total 21 documents vide diary no.58683/2024. However, the accompanying List of Documents is not in the prescribed format. Thereafter, the defendant no.1 has filed the translated copy of some of the said 21 documents without giving the corresponding number of relevant documents and the accompanying List of Documents is also not in the prescribed format. In view of submission made, the defendant no.1 is directed to file fresh/correct List of Documents in the prescribed format within three weeks with copy to the opposite side.

6. As per office note, proof of cost filed by the plaintiff and defendant no.2 to 7 are on record.

7. Hard copy of the documents filed by plaintiff and defendant no.2 to 7 are also on record.

8. At the joint request, the next date of hearing i.e.



28.03.2025, stands recalled.

Re-notify the matter for further proceedings on
21.07.2025.

PRIYA MAHENDRA (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

MARCH 26, 2025/ab

Click here to check corrigendum, if any